

GAHC040015412019



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : PIL/21/2019

Toko Soni
W/o Toko Togur, resident of Talo village, District Lower Subansiri, AP

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 4 ORS.

represented by the Secretary, Ministry of Women and child Development, Govt. of
India, New Delhi 2:THE STATE OF AP

Age: 0

Occupation :

represented by the Commissioner/Secretary

Govt. of AP

Deptt.of Social welfare

Govt. of AP

Itanagar

3:The commissioner and Secretary

Age: 0

Occupation :

Deptt. of Helath and Family welfare

Govt. of AP

Itanagar

4:The Deputy Director

ICDS

Age: 0

Occupation :

Yachuli

Dist. Lower Subansiri

AP

5:The Chief Development Programme Officer

Age: 0

Occupation :

Yachuli

Dist. Lower Subansiri

A

Advocate for the Petitioner : Sunil Mow

Advocate for the Respondent : CGC

Linked Case : PIL/22/2019

Likha Denia

Age: 29

Occupation :

Address:W/o Likha Lohit

aged about 29 years

resident of Yazali Village

Dist. Lower Subansiri

AP

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 4 ORS.

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address:represented by the Secretary

Ministry of Women and child Development

Govt. of India

new Delhi

2:THE STATE OF AP

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address:represented by the Commissioner and Secretary

Govt. of AP

Deptt. of Social welfare

Govt. of AP

Itanagar

3:The Commissioner and Secretary

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address:Deptt. of Health and Family welfare

Govt. of AP

Itanagar

4:The Deputy Director

ICDS

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address:Yazali

Dist

Lower Subansiri
AP

5:The Child Development Programme Officer

Age: 0
Occupation :
Address:Yazali
Dist. Lower Subansiri
AP

Advocate for : Sunil Mow
Advocate for : CGC appearing for THE UNION OF INDIA AND 4 ORS.: Advocate ,

,
,
, appearing for 2,
3,
4,
5, respectively.

BEFORE
HONBLE MRS. JUSTICE MARLI VANKUNG
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE KAUSHIK GOSWAMI

ORDER

Date : 25.04.2024.
(Marli Vankung, J)

Heard Mr. S. Mow, learned counsel for the petitioner along with Mr. S. Tapin, learned Sr. Govt. Advocate for the State respondents and Mr. M. Kato, learned DSGI/Union of India.

It is seen that the Union of India have filed their counter affidavit highlighting the funds released by the Central Govt. to the State of Arunachal Pradesh in the last five years under Anganwadi Service Scheme from 2017 to

2022 and the additional funds released thereafter, ii) funds released under POSHAN Abhiyaan (as on 31.03.2023) from 2017, (iii) funds released under PMMVY

The learned Sr. Govt. Advocate however submits that the affidavit filed by the Union of India does not shows whether the enhanced amount required for the full-fledged implementation of the scheme under the National Food Security Act, 2013, which was placed before the Union of India is included in the affidavit filed by Union of India. The learned Sr. Govt. Advocate submits that an enhanced amount of Rs.4,50,000/- per center had been applied for in the enhance scheme for the construction of the Anganwadi Centers. The learned Sr. Government Advocate has thus prayed for time to get instructions.

In view of the above, the learned Govt. Advocate is to get instructions and file additional affidavits and also enclose the documents to substantiate the claim that additional enhanced amount was applied for by the State respondent for the construction of Anganwadi Centres.

List the matter **after 2 (two) weeks.**

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant