

GAHC040015412019



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : PIL 21/2019

1:Toko Soni
W/o Toko Togur, resident of Talo village, District Lower Subansiri, AP

VERSUS

1:THE UNION OF INDIA AND 4 ORS.
represented by the Secretary, Ministry of Women and child Development, Govt. of
India, New Delhi

2:THE STATE OF AP
represented by the Commissioner/Secretary
Govt. of AP
Deptt.of Social welfare
Govt. of AP
Itanagar

3:The commissioner and Secretary
Deptt. of Helath and Family welfare
Govt. of AP
Itanagar

4:The Deputy Director
ICDS
Yachuli
Dist. Lower Subansiri
AP

5:The Chief Development Programme Officer
Yachuli
Dist. Lower Subansiri
A

Advocate for the Petitioner : Sunil Mow

Advocate for the Respondent : CGC

Linked Case : PIL 22/2019

1:Likha Denia
W/o Likha Lohit
aged about 29 years
resident of Yazali Village
Dist. Lower Subansiri
AP

VERSUS

1:THE UNION OF INDIA AND 4 ORS.
represented by the Secretary
Ministry of Women and child Development
Govt. of India
new Delhi

2:THE STATE OF AP
represented by the Commissioner and Secretary
Govt. of AP
Deptt. of Social welfare
Govt. of AP
Itanagar

3:The Commissioner and Secretary
Deptt. of Health and Family welfare
Govt. of AP
Itanagar

4:The Deputy Director
ICDS
Yazali
Dist
Lower Subansiri
AP

5:The Child Development Programme Officer
Yazali
Dist. Lower Subansiri
AP

Advocate for the Petitioner : Sunil Mow
Advocate for the Respondent : CGC

BEFORE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE S.K.MEDHI
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHIVJYOTI SAIKIA

ORDER

09.06.2022

(S.K. Medhi, J.)

Heard Shri S. Mow, learned counsel for the petitioner, who submits that after the affidavit of the State Government was filed, the petitioner has made further verification and found that the Anganwadi Centres are being deprived from the basic amenities which the Centres should provide.

In this regard, the shortcomings are both from the Central Government and State Government in providing the facilities and amenities and also for the infrastructure where the Centres are located. The learned counsel further submits that there are no toilets, proper kitchen, drinking water and other facilities etc. It is astonished to note that the food items are allegedly supplied only once in a year which, if true, would amount to a sham supply as food after a few days would perish.

In the affidavit, Shri S. Tapin, learned Senior Government Advocate, AP, on other hand, submits that the State is trying his level best to give the amenities and so far as the infrastructure is concerned, there is lack of funds from the Central Government as the entire Scheme is a Centrally sponsored Scheme namely, Integrated Child Development Scheme.

Responding to the same, Shri M. Kato, learned ASGI submits that he would take instructions on this aspect and a copy of the affidavit of the State may be furnished to him.

Let a copy of the affidavit of the State be furnished to Shri Kato during the course of the day to enable him to obtain the instructions.

List this case after 2(two) weeks by which time, both the Central Government Counsel as well as the State Counsel would obtain further instructions.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant