

GAHC040015222022



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : IA(C) 249/2022

1:New India Assurance Company Ltd
Having its registered office at New India Assurance Building 87, Mahatma Gandhi Road and one of the North East Regional Office at Ulubari, G.S. Road, Guwahati.

VERSUS

1:Lobsang Tsering and Anr.
S/o Late Sang Khandu,
Resident of Village Dirang, PO and PS Naharlagun, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh.

2:Sange Tsering
S/o Shri Chommu Monpa
Resident of Dirang Bazar
PO and PS Dirang
West Kameng District
Arunachal Pradesh. Respondent Owner of the Vehicle No. AR 01 F 827

Advocate for the Petitioner : T Tayeng

Advocate for the Respondent : G Taloh

Linked Case : MACApp. 21/2022

1:New India Assurance Company Ltd.
Having its registered office at New India Assurance Building 87
Mahatma Gandhi Road and one of the North East Regional Office at Ulubari
G.S. Road

Guwahati.

VERSUS

1:Lobsang Tsering and Anr.
S/o Late Sang Khandu

Resident of Village Dirang
PO and PS Naharlagun
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh.

2:Sange Tsering
S/o Shri Chommu Monpa
Resident of Dirang Bazar
PO and PS Dirang
West Kameng District
Arunachal Pradesh. Respondent Owner of the Vehicle No. AR 01 F 8274

Advocate for the Petitioner : T Tayeng
Advocate for the Respondent : G Taloh

Linked Case : IA(C) 250/2022

1:New India Assurance Company Ltd.
Having its registered office at New India Assurance Building 87
Mahatma Gandhi Road and one of the North East Regional Office at Ulubari
G.S. Road
Guwahati.

VERSUS

1:Lobsang Tsering and Anr.
S/o Late Sang Khandu
Resident of Village Dirang
PO and PS Naharlagun
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh

2:Sange Tsering
S/o Shri Chommu Monpa
Resident of Dirang Bazar
PO and PS Dirang
West Kameng District

Arunachal Pradesh.
Respondent Owner of the Vehicle No. AR 01 F 8274

Advocate for the Petitioner : T Tayeng
Advocate for the Respondent : G Taloh

**BEFORE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE**

ORDER

Date : 02-05-2023

Heard Mr. T. Tayeng, learned counsel for the applicant and Mr. G. Taloh, learned counsel for the respondent No.1.

Learned counsel for the respondent No.1 prays that he may be allowed to file an objection to the prayer for condonation of delay of 102 days in filing the connected MAC appeal.

Prayer is allowed.

List the matter after 3(three) weeks.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant