

GAHC040014922025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : PIL/15/2025

Likha Rajnik
Son of Shri Likha Tana, resident of Riyo Village, Nirjuli, PO and PS Nirjuli, Papum
Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

The State of AP and 10 Ors
represented by the Chief Secretary, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar. 2:The
Secretary
Age: 0
Occupation :
Department of Home
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar.

3:The Secretary
Age: 0
Occupation :
Department of State Lotteries
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar.

4:The Director General of Police
Age: 0
Occupation :
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
PHQ
Chimpu
Itanagar.

5:The Superintending of Police
Age: 0
Occupation :

Capital Complex
Itanagar Division
Itanagar to be represented by PP

6:The Superintending of Police
Age: 0
Occupation :
Capital Complex
Naharlagun Division
Naharlagun to be represented by the PP

7:The Superintending of Police
Age: 0
Occupation :
Yupia
Papum Pare District
to be represented by the PP

8:The Officer in Charge
Age: 0
Occupation :
Police Station Itanagar
to be represented by the PP

9:The Officer in Charge
Age: 0
Occupation :
Police Station Naharlagun
to be represented by the PP

10:The Officer in Charge
Age: 0
Occupation :
Police Station Doimukh
to be represented by the PP

11:The Officer in Charge
Age: 0
Occupation :
Police Station Papu Hill
Naharlagun to be represented by the P

Advocate for the Petitioner : Lissing Perme, Signal Yirang, Tabung Nonang, A Perme, Wintet Sawin, Jumge Riram, Krishna Dubey

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP), P P of AP

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. UNNI KRISHNAN NAIR
ORDER**

11.08.2026

[Budi Habung, J]

Heard Mr. L. Perme, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. N. Ratan, learned Additional Advocate General for the State respondents.

Mr. Perme, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that he has filed an affidavit-in-reply to the affidavit-in-opposition filed by respondent No. 11. He further submits that a copy of the additional affidavit filed by the State respondent No. 3 has not been furnished to him till date.

In view of the above, the respondent shall provide a copy of the additional affidavit filed by the respondent No.3 to the learned counsel for the petitioner during the course of the day.

As prayed for, list the matter again on **13.08.2026**.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Sabnam Mehta (SPA)