

GAHC040014422024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WA/27/2024

Bengia Talo
Son of Late Bengia Talum, Pappu Nallah, PO Naharlagun, PS Papum Hill, Papum Pare
District, Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

The State of AP and 6 Ors
represented through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

2:The Deputy Commissioner cum Revenue Officer

Age: 0

Occupation :

Capital Complex

Itanagar

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh.

3:The Director of Land Management

Age: 0

Occupation :

Capital Complex

Itanagar

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh.

4:Aying Perme

Age: 0

Occupation :

Extra Assistant Commissioner

Capital Complex

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh.

5:The Art of Living Society

Age: 0

Occupation :

Jully

Itanagar
represented by Shri Brahmachar Ravider (Ashram in charge) PO and PS Itanagar
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh.

6:Nabam Tamar
Age: 0
Occupation :
Son of Late Nabam Talo
Jullang Village
PO and PS Itanagar
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh.

7:Smti Nabam Yaja
Age: 0
Occupation :
Wife of Late Nabam Talo
Jullang Village
PO and PS Itanagar
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Michi Nibo,

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP), Kirpi Nyorak, Nani Kuru, Karmu Chotton, Honi Tana
Tara, Oken Duggong, Nikita Danggen

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. UNNI KRISHNAN NAIR
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRANJAL DAS

ORDER

Date : 06.08.2026

[N.Unni Krishnan Nair, J]

Heard Mr. M. Nibo, learned counsel, appearing for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. G. Ete, learned Additional Senior Government Advocate and Ms. N. Danggen, learned counsel, appearing for their respective respondents.

Seen the office notes dated 22.06.2026 and 05.08.2026, which reveals that unserved notice in respect of the respondent no.4 was received back by the Registry with the postal note "addresse is no longer Assistant Commissioner in Itanagar C-Sector, addresse left as Per Staff of Assistant Commissioner Office, Itanagar RTS". Further, it has been highlighted that "neither the A/D card nor unserved notice has been received back in respect of the respondent nos.6 and 7".

In view of the above position, Mr. M. Nibo, learned counsel, appearing for the petitioner, prays that he be permitted to serve notice upon the respondent nos.4, 6 and 7 by way of dasti mode.

Prayer accepted.

Petitioner is permitted to effect service of notice upon the respondent nos.4, 6 and 7, by way of dasti mode routed through the Registry of this Court. On effecting such service, petitioner to file an affidavit envisaging service of such notice by way of dasti mode.

Registry to list this matter again after 04 (four) weeks, on a date to be fixed by it.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant