

GAHC040014352023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/509/2023

Larry Nonang
S/o Lt Tarung Nonang, R/o Jarkong, Ward No. 8, Pasighat, P.O/P.S Pasighat, District
East Siang, Arunachal Pradesh. Mob. 91943625843

VERSUS

The State of AP and 9 Others
being represented by Chief Secretary to the Government of Arunachal Pradesh,
Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh. 2:The Secretary
Age: 0
Occupation :
(Land Management) Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar
Arunachal Pradesh.

3:The Commissioner
Age: 0
Occupation :
(Land Management) Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar
Arunachal Pradesh.

4:The Director
Age: 0
Occupation :
(Land Management) Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar
Arunachal Pradesh.

5:The Deputy Commissioner
Age: 0
Occupation :
East Siang District

Pasighat
Arunachal Pradesh.

6:The District Land Revenue and Settlement Officer
Age: 0
Occupation :
East Siang District
Arunachal Pradesh.

7:Shri Gegong Apang (Former Chief Minister of Arunachal Pradesh)
Age: 0
Occupation :
H/O Lt. Dipti Apang
resident of C Sector
Itanagar
PO/PS Itanagar
District Papum Pare
Arunachal Pradesh.

8:Shri David Gao
Age: 0
Occupation :
S/o late Gumin Gao
Rajiv Gandhi University (RGU)
Doimukh
P.O/P.S Doimukh
District Papum Pare
Arunachal Pradesh.

9:Shri Olom Perme
Age: 0
Occupation :
S/o Late Galing Perme resident of 2 Mile Pasighat
PO/PS Pasighat
District East Siang
Arunachal Pradesh.

10:Shri Tati Gao
Age: 0
Occupation :
S/o Shri Taling Gao resident of 2 mile Pasighat
P.O/P.S Pasighat
District East Siang
Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Nikita Danggen

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP)

**BEFORE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE**

ORDER

Date :22.11.2023

Heard Mr. O. Duggong, the learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Ms T. Wangmo, the learned State counsel for respondents No.1, 5 and 6 and Mr. D. Kamduk, the learned Standing Counsel, Land Management Department for respondents No. 2, 3 and 4.

Mr. O. Modi, the learned counsel submits that he has an instruction to appear on behalf of respondent Nos.7 to 10, for which, he seeks for 3(three) weeks time to file counter-affidavit.

Prayer is allowed.

List the matter after 3(three) weeks.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant