

GAHC040012742019



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : CrI.A./3/2019

SHRI BOJIR TAYENG
S/O SHRI YAKEK TAYENG, RESIDENT OF HIGH REGION COLONY,
PASIGHAT, PO/PS PASIGHAT, DIST. EAST SIANGA, AP.MOBILE NO.
9436643682 2: SHRI ONYOK TAYENG

Age:

Occupation :

S/O SHRI YAKEK TAYENG
RESIDENT OF 5 MILES COLONY
PASIGHAT
PO/PS PASIGHAT
DIST. EAST SIANG
AP

VERSUS

THE STATE OF A.P.
THROUGH THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR 2:MRS MUM TAYENG PERME

Age: 0

Occupation :

W/O BOM PERME
VILLAGE BORGULI
PO/PS MEBO
DIST. EAST SIANG
AP

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mukbom Pertin

Advocate for the Respondent :

BEFORE
HONBLE MRS. JUSTICE MITALI THAKURIA
ORDER

04.08.2023

Heard Mr. M. Pertin, learned Senior counsel assisted by Mr. K. Dabi, learned counsel for the appellant. Also heard Mr. G. Tado, learned Additional Public Prosecutor representing the State respondent.

It is submitted by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, Mr. G. Tado that the service of notice upon the respondent No.2 has not yet been completed, however, A/D card has been received back from the O.C. of the concerned Police Station.

In this regard, the appellant is directed to take steps upon the respondent No.2 through the jurisdictional Police Station within three days from today.

It seems that the appeal is of the year 2019, and I find it prudent to appoint an Amicus Curiae, if, the respondent No.2 is not being represented by any counsel on the next date fixed.

Registry is hereby asked to do the needful.

Accordingly, list the matter for hearing after **3(three) weeks**.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant