

GAHC040007772025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : CRP/50/2025

Nabam Nega Hina and Anr
Son of Nabam Tajang, permanent resident of Seema Village, PO and PS Sagalee,
Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh. 2: Nabam Tajang
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Nabam Tadik
resident of Seema Village
PO and PS Sagalee
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

Nabam Taluk and 2 Ors
Son of Nabam Taniyum, Seema Village, PO and PS Sagalee, Papum Pare District,
Arunachal Pradesh. 2:Gollo Kojum
Age: 0
Occupation :
Son of Late Gollo Tagia
the President
All Parang Circle Gaon Burah Welfare Association
Parang Circle
PO and PS Sagelee
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh.

3:Nabam Laji
Age: 0
Occupation :
Son of Late Nabam Hari
General Secretary
All Parang Circle Gaon Burah Welfare Association
parang Village

Parang Circle
PO and PS Sagalee
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Marto Kato, Tony Meto, Nyali Sora, Kamin Teri, Yasmin Gao, Mepe Ete

Advocate for the Respondent : Rajesh Sonar, Khyoda Yayo, M Basar, Michi Khambo, Binny Nyaruce, R Taku

Linked Case : IA(C)/189/2025

Nabam Taluk
Age: 65
Occupation :
Address: Son of Nabam Taniyum
Seema Village
PO and PS Sagalee
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

Nabam Nega Hina and Anr
Age: 30
Occupation :
Address: Son of Nabam Tajang
permanent resident of Seema Village
PO and PS Sagalee
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh.
2: Nabam Tajang
Age: 52
Occupation :
Address: Son of Nabam Tadik
resident of Seema Village
PO and PS Sagalee
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh.

Advocate for : Meenakshi Jerang

Advocate for : Yasmin Gao appearing for Nabam Nega Hina and Anr: Advocate ,
appearing for 2, respectively.

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG**

ORDER

02.09.2026

Heard Mr. M. Ete, learned counsel for the petitioners/applicants. Also heard Mr. M. Khambo, learned counsel for the respondent.

- 2.** The Trial Court Record as called for, has not yet been received.
- 3.** Mr. Ete, learned counsel for the petitioners/applicants, submits that the presence of respondent Nos. 2 and 3, being the purported village authorities, is not required for the purpose of disposal of this civil revision petition. Therefore, he prays for striking off the names of respondent Nos. 2 and 3 from the cause title.
- 4.** Upon consideration, the prayer is allowed.
- 5.** Accordingly, the names of respondent Nos. 2 and 3 be struck off from the cause title.
- 6.** The Registry is hereby directed to do the needful.
- 7.** As requested, list the matters after **2 (two) weeks**, awaiting the Trial Court Record.

JUDGE