

GAHC040011432023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : Cont.Cas(C)/27/2023

Dondup Jamchen
S/o Chime Dorjee,
Permanent Resident of Gelling Village, PO/PS Tuting, District Upper Siang,
Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

Rajiv Gauba and 8 Ors.
the Cabinet Secretary to the Govt. of India, Cabinet Secretariat, Rashtrapati Bhawan,
New Delhi 110004 2:Giridhar Aramane
Age: 0
Occupation :
Defence Secretary
Ministry of Defence
Govt. of India
101 A
South Block
New Delhi 110011

3:G S Rajeswaran
Age: 0
Occupation :
Director General Defence Estates
Raksha Sampada Bhawan
Ulaanbaatar Road
Ministry of Defence
Delhi Cantt. 110010

4:S. N. Gupta
Age: 0
Occupation :
Principal Director
Defence Estates

Eastern Command
Ministry of Defence
13 Camac Street
7th Floor
Kolkata 700013

5:Koli Akash Santosh
Age: 0
Occupation :
Defence Estates Officer
Itanagar Circle
Camp at Model Village
Naharlagun
Arunachal Pradesh 791110

6:Kiran S Rahul
Age: 0
Occupation :
Commanding Officer
8th Maratha Light Infantry Regiment
Tuting
Upper Siang District
Arunachal Pradesh.

7:Anurag Panwar
Age: 0
Occupation :
Commanding Officer
4/1 Gurkha Regiment
Rayang under Sub Division Ruksin
East Siang District
Arunachal Pradesh.

8:Pankaj Kumar Jha
Age: 0
Occupation :
Secretary Land Management
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar
PO/PS Itanagar
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh.

9:Hage Lailang
Age: 0
Occupation :
Deputy Commissioner
Yingkiong

Upper Siang District
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh
PO/PS Yingkiong
Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Nikita Danggen, K Chotton, Y Dagiam, P Siga, Honi Tana Tara, Oken Duggong

Advocate for the Respondent : 1 Y Doloi, S Taye, Jobang Modi, Noni Nobeng, Orin Modi

-BEFORE-

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MITALI THAKURIA

11.11.2024

Heard Mr. O. Duggong, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Y. Doloi, learned Senior Penal counsel for respondent No.7.

Mr. Doloi, learned Senior Penal counsel for respondent No. 7 submits that he has already filed affidavit-in-opposition on behalf of respondent No. 7, however, it is submitted by him that since there was no issuance of notice upon respondent Nos. 3 to 5, he is not in a position to appear on their behalf.

In view of the above, the learned counsel for the petitioner is hereby asked to serve notice upon respondent Nos. 3 to 5 so that Mr. Doloi, learned Senior Penal Counsel can appear on their behalf and file affidavit-in-opposition.

Mr. N. Nobeng, learned counsel for respondent No. 9 prays for some more time to file affidavit-in-opposition.

Prayer is allowed.

List the matter after 4 (four) weeks enabling the respondents to file

their affidavit-in-opposition, if any.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant