

GAHC040011432023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : Cont.Cas(C)/27/2023

Dondup Jamchen
S/o Chime Dorjee,
Permanent Resident of Gelling Village, PO/PS Tuting, District Upper Siang,
Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

Rajiv Gauba and 8 Ors.
the Cabinet Secretary to the Govt. of India, Cabinet Secretariat, Rashtrapati Bhawan,
New Delhi 110004 2:Giridhar Aramane
Age: 0
Occupation :
Defence Secretary
Ministry of Defence
Govt. of India
101 A
South Block
New Delhi 110011

3:G S Rajeswaran
Age: 0
Occupation :
Director General Defence Estates
Raksha Sampada Bhawan
Ulaanbaatar Road
Ministry of Defence
Delhi Cantt. 110010

4:S. N. Gupta
Age: 0
Occupation :
Principal Director
Defence Estates

Eastern Command
Ministry of Defence
13 Camac Street
7th Floor
Kolkata 700013

5:Koli Akash Santosh
Age: 0
Occupation :
Defence Estates Officer
Itanagar Circle
Camp at Model Village
Naharlagun
Arunachal Pradesh 791110

6:Kiran S Rahul
Age: 0
Occupation :
Commanding Officer
8th Maratha Light Infantry Regiment
Tuting
Upper Siang District
Arunachal Pradesh.

7:Anurag Panwar
Age: 0
Occupation :
Commanding Officer
4/1 Gurkha Regiment
Rayang under Sub Division Ruksin
East Siang District
Arunachal Pradesh.

8:Pankaj Kumar Jha
Age: 0
Occupation :
Secretary Land Management
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar
PO/PS Itanagar
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh.

9:Hage Lailang
Age: 0
Occupation :
Deputy Commissioner
Yingkiong

Upper Siang District
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh
PO/PS Yingkiong
Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Nikita Danggen

Advocate for the Respondent :

BEFORE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN DEV CHOUDHURY

ORDER

08.11.2023

Await service report in respect of the respondent Nos. 7 and 9.

In this case, notice was issued to the respondent Nos. 7 and 9 only by this Court under its order dated 24.08.2023 for the reason that the copy of the order alleged to have been violated was furnished only upon the respondent Nos. 7 and 9.

Today the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has already furnished a copy of the order before the respondent No. 5 and the respondent No. 5 has not complied with the said order till date.

Accordingly, he prays that he may be allowed to file an additional affidavit to bring the aforesaid facts on record. Prayer is allowed.

List this matter after two weeks on a date to be fixed by the Registry. In the meantime, the affidavit may be filed, if so advised.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant