

GAHC040010902018



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**  
**(ITANAGAR BENCH)**

**Case No. : CrI.A./1/2018**

SMT. YAKIANG PANGKAM  
W/O SHRI SIPIYANG PANGKAM, ADD A SECTOR, ITANAGAR NEAR RAJ  
BHAVAN PO/PS ITANAGAR, DIST. PAPUM PARE, R/R BOGNE VILLAGE,  
PO/PS KAYING DIST. WEST SIANG, A P. MOBILE NO. 9402278012

VERSUS

THE STATE OF A.P. AND ANR.  
REPRESENTED THROUGH THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR      2:SMT. NYOPU @  
NIOPA BAGBI  
Age: 0  
Occupation :  
W/O SHRI TASOK BAGBI@ R.J BAGBI ADD. RAJ BHAVAN COMPLEX  
NEAR RAJ BHAVAN  
PO/PS ITANAGAR PAPUM PARE DIST. AP

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : MR.M Pertin, Sr. Advocate

**Advocate for the Respondent** : D Doilyang

**BEFORE**  
**HONBLE MRS. JUSTICE MITALI THAKURIA**

**ORDER**

**Date : 07.11.2023**

Heard Ms. T. Y. Bhutia, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. Muk Pertin, learned Senior Advocate for the appellant, who submits that her senior

who is the Conducting Counsel had to leave early from the Court Premises due to some personal difficulties. She also submits that she has informed and taken consent of the learned counsel for the respondent side.

Mr. T. Ete, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the state respondent has no objection.

None appears for the respondent No. 2 on call.

Considering the grounds mentioned above, the prayer for adjournment is allowed.

List the matter accordingly after 2(two) weeks.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**