

GAHC040003482024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : Crl.Petn./31/2024

Vishal Pah Nabam
Son of Late Nabam Taram, resident of Vivek Vihar, Itanagar, PO and PS Itanagar,
Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

The State of AP and Anr
represented by the PP of AP 2:Opet Jamoh
Age: 35
Occupation :
D/o Shri Tangir Jamoh
resident of D Sector
Naharlagun
PO and PS Naharlagun
Papumpare District
Arunachal Prades

Advocate for the Petitioner : Teto Taba, C Ering,B Taba

Advocate for the Respondent : P P of AP,

Linked Case : Crl.Petn./73/2025

Miss Opet Jamoh
Age: 39
Occupation :
Address:Daughter of Shri Tangir Jamoh
permanent resident of Village Begging
PO and PS Boleng

Siang District
Arunachal Pradesh and present address D Sector Court Street Naharlagun
PO and PS Naharlagun
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

The State of AP and Anr
Age: 0
Occupation :
Address:represented by the PP of AP
2:Smti Taba Yall Nabam
Age: 0
Occupation :
Address:Wife of Shri Vishal Pah Nabam
a resident of Ward No.5 Vivek Vihar
Itanagar
PO and PS Itanagar
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh.

Advocate for : Tabit Tapak
Advocate for : P P of AP appearing for The State of AP and Anr: Advocate , appearing
for 2, respectively.

Linked Case : IA(Crl)/69/2024

Ms Opet Jamoh
Age: 39
Occupation :
Address:D/o Shri Tangir Jamoh
resident of D Sector
Naharlagun
PO and PS Naharlagun
Papumpare District
Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

The State of AP and Anr
Age: 0
Occupation :
Address:represented by the PP of AP
2:Vishal Pah Nabam
Age: 0

Occupation :
Address:Son of Late Nabam Taram
resident of Vivek Vihar
Itanagar
PO and PS Itanagar
Papumpare District
Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for : Tabit Tapak
Advocate for : P P of AP appearing for The State of AP and Anr: Advocate , appearing
for 2, respectively.

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. UNNI KRISHNAN NAIR

ORDER

Date : 29.05.2025

It is submitted at the Bar that the parties to the proceeding have been duly represented. Further, the Trial Court record has also been received.

Accordingly, Registry is directed to list these matters again for hearing after 3(three) weeks on a date to be fixed by it.

Interim order passed earlier to continue till the next date of listing.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant