

GAHC040008832025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : Crl.Petn./118/2025

Lokam Talo and 2 Ors

Son of Late Lokam Hangi, resident of Oju Colony, Papu Nallah Bridge, Naharlagun,
PO and PS Naharlagun, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh. 2: Taw Paul

Age:

Occupation :

Son of Taw Nilo

resident of near Borum Middle School

Naharlagun

PO and PS Naharlagun

Papum Pare District

Arunachal Pradesh.

3: Tai Shiva

Age:

Occupation :

Son of Tai Epak

resident of Tumro Lekha

Doimukh

Papum Pare District

Arunachal Pradesh behind Rajiv Gandhi University

PO and PS Doimukh

Papum Pare District

Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

The State of AP and Anr

through the PP of AP 2:Smti Ha Yadek

Age: 65

Occupation :

Son of Late Ha Tama

resident of Barbia

PO and PS Parsi Parlo

Kurung Kumey District
Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Tabit Tapak, Bebeng Siboh, Aso Dalbong

Advocate for the Respondent : P P of AP,

Linked Case : Crl.Petn./110/2025

Lokam Talo and 2 Ors

Age: 38

Occupation :

Address: Son of Late Lokam Hangi
resident of Oju Colony
Papu Nallah Bridge
Naharlagun
PO and PS Naharlagun
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh.

2: Taw Paul

Age: 27

Occupation :

Address: Son of Taw Nilo
resident near Borum Middle School
Naharlagun
PO and PS Naharlagun
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh.

3: Tai Shiva

Age: 40

Occupation :

Address: Son of Tai Epak
resident of Tumro Lekha
Doimukh
Papum Pare District behind Rejiv Gandhi University
PO and PS Doimukh
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

The State of AP and Anr

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address: represented by the PP of AP
2: Ha Tatu

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address: Son of Late Ha Tama (Disputed) resident of ESS Sector

Itanagar

PO and PS Itanagar

Papum Pare District

Arunachal Pradesh.

Advocate for : Tabit Tapak

Advocate for : P P of AP appearing for The State of AP and Anr: Advocate , appearing for 2, respectively.

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG
ORDER**

09.02.2026

Heard Mr. T. Tapak, learned counsel for the petitioners. Also heard Mr. D. Loyi, learned Public Prosecutor for the State respondent No.1 and Mr. R. Sonar, learned counsel for the respondent No. 2.

Since the parties have been duly represented, list the matters for admission hearing after **2 (two) weeks**.

The learned counsel for the petitioners is at liberty to file an interlocutory application seeking stay of the impugned order.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant