

GAHC040008812025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/242/2025

Miss Rongki Tayeng
Daughter of Shri Ogo Tayeng, permanent resident of Mebo Village, PO and PS Mebo,
East Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

The State of AP and 5 Ors
through the Secretary (Education), Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

2:The Secretary Education

Age: 0

Occupation :

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Itanagar.

3:The Integrated Scheme for School Education

Age: 0

Occupation :

Samagra Shiksha Abhiyan Society

through its State Project Director

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh.

4:The Deputy Commissioner cum District Project Director

Age: 0

Occupation :

Integrated Scheme for School Education

Samagra Shiksha Abhiyan Society

East Siang District

Arunachal Pradesh.

5:The Deputy Director cum District Project Officer

Age: 0

Occupation :

Integrated Scheme for School Education
Samagra Shiksha Abhiyan Society
East Siang District
Arunachal Pradesh.

6:Miss Yomrik Kamsi
Age: 0
Occupation :
Daughter of Late Tayom Kamsi
presently residing at E-23
Forest Colony
Pasighat
PO and PS Pasighat
East Siang District
Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Kamal Saxena, Toktem Tayeng

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP), SC (Education),Taba Tagum

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. UNNI KRISHNAN NAIR

ORDER

Date : 06.06.2025

Heard Mr. K. Saxena, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. T. Tagum, learned Standing Counsel for the Education Department, appearing for the respondent Nos. 1, 2, 3 & 5 and Mr. N. Lowang, learned Senior Government Advocate for the respondent No. 4.

The petitioner in the present proceeding has contended that in pursuance to her name figuring in the select-list so published for the post of Full Time Teacher (FTT) (English) on contractual basis, with the Samagra Siksha District Society, she was issued with an order of engagement on contractual basis dated 08.03.2025. The petitioner contends that she had joined the said post on 10.04.2025 and has been working therein. However, the respondent authorities,

vide an Intimation-cum-Apology letter, dated 28.05.2025, had intimated the petitioner that her inclusion in the select-list was on account of a typographical error and actually, it was the respondent No. 6, whose name was to be included in the said select-list, in terms of the merit position obtained by her in the connected selection process. The petitioner submits that the said projection made in the Intimation-cum-Apology letter is erroneous, inasmuch as, the petitioner had secured a better merit position than the respondent No. 6.

In view of the above, Mr. Tagum, learned Standing Counsel, Education, shall receive instructions with regard to the merit position obtained by the petitioner and the respondent No. 6 and place the same before this Court on the next date of listing.

It is provided that in the event the post against which the petitioner was so appointed vide the order, dated 08.03.2025, is not filled up by issuing an order of appointment to the respondent No. 6, the respondent authorities till the next date of listing, shall not fill up the post in question by issuing an order of appointment to the respondent No. 6.

It is further provided that in the meanwhile, if the respondent No. 6 has been issued with an appointment order, the same would be subject to the further orders that would be passed by this Court.

Registry to list this matter again on **11.06.2025**.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant