

GAHC040008492020



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C) 325/2020

1: INGONG PABIN
S/O LT. TADANG PABIN, VILLAGE MIRBUK, PO/PS PASIGHAT, DIST. EAST
SIANG, AP.

2: TAPAK MIROH
VILLAGE NARI
PO/PS NARI
DISTRICT LOWER SIANG
AP.

3: MIGO ADA
S/O LT. REMI ADA
VILLAGE DIPA
PO/PS LIKABALI
DIST. LOWER SIANG
AP.

4: KANTO MIROH
S/O LT. TADO MIROH
VILLAGE LIRU
PO/PS LIKABALI
DIST. LOWER SIANG
AP.

5: MARBIN GIBI
S/O SHRI YUMMAR GIBI
VILLAGE JIPU
PO/PS LIKABALI
DIST. LOWER SIANG
AP.

6: DAKGI TAIPODIA
S/O LT. NIDAK TAIPODIA

VILLAGE LIRU
PO/PS LIKABALI DIST. LOWER SIANG
AP.

7: JUMTO TAO
S/O SHRI IJUM TAO
VILLAGE LIRU
PO/PS LIKABALI DISTRICT LOWER SIANG
A P.

8: YUMKAR NYORI
S/O LT. MARYOM NYORI
VILLAGE RILU
PO/PS BASAR
DIST. LEPA RADA
AP.

9: KAGO TAYU
S/O LT. KAGO HALIANG
VILLAGE HIJA
PO/PS ZIRO
DIST. LOWER SUBANSIRI DIST. AP.

10: YORTUM RIRAM
S/O LT. JUMYOR RIRAM
VILLAGE PUAKGUMIN
PO/PS AALO
DIST. WEST SIANG
AP.

11: MARBOM SORA
S/O LT. JUMMAR SORA
VILLAGE SIPU COLONY
PO/PS AALO
DIST. WEST SIANG
AP

VERSUS

1:The State of AP and Others
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY, GOVT. OF AP, ITANAGAR.

2:THE SECRETARY
ADMINISTRATIVE REGORMS
GOVT. OF AP
ITANAGAR.

3:THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY ENVIRONMENT AND FOREST

GOVT. OF AP
ITANAGAR.

4:THE PRINCIPAL CHIEF CONSERVATOR OF FOREST
GOVT. OF AP
ITANAGAR.

5:THE CONSERVATOR OF FOREST (HQ)
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND FOREST.
GOVT. OF AP
ITANAGAR

Advocate for the Petitioner : M Biswas
T Tapak

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP)

BEFORE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE NANI TAGIA
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHIVJYOTI SAIKIA

ORDER

Date : 26-11-2020
(Nani Tagia J.)

Heard Mr. T. Tapak, learned counsel, appearing on behalf of the petitioners. Also heard Mr. Subu Tapin, learned Senior Government Advocate, appearing on behalf of all the respondents.

The petitioners have put to challenge the validity of Column 7 and Column 11(b) to the Schedule of the Range Forest Officer Recruitment Rules, 2019, which has been notified vide Notification, dated 08.01.2020, in the Arunachal Pradesh Gazette.

Let notice of motion be issued calling upon the respondent to show cause as to why a Rule should not be issued as prayed for; and/or why such further or other order(s) should not be passed as to this Court may deem fit and proper.

The notice is made returnable within 6 weeks.

Since Mr. Tapin, learned Senior Government Advocate, has accepted notices on behalf of all the respondents, no formal notice is required to be sent to the said respondents. However, he shall be provided with the requisite extra copies of the writ petition during the course of the day.

Since the *vires* of the Recruitment Rules, referred to hereinabove, have been put to challenge; notice to the learned Advocate General, Arunachal Pradesh, be issued, for which, the learned counsel for the petitioners shall take appropriate steps.

List it after 6(six) weeks.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant