

GAHC040008392023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/285/2023

Talum Haji
S/o Tako Haji,
Serving as W/C Electrician (C 1), in the office of Dumporijo Electrical Sub Division,
District Upper Subansiri and a Resident of Forest Colony, Daporijo near Range
Office, Daporijo, Arunachal Pradesh.
917085742377

VERSUS

THE STATE OF AP and 7 Ors.
Represented through Chief Secretary, Govt. of AP, Itanagar.

2:the Secretary (Power)

Age: 0

Occupation :

Govt. of AP

Itanagar.

3:the Superintendent of Engineer (E)

Age: 0

Occupation :

AP

Electrical Circle V

Department of Power

Ziro

Lower Subansiri

Arunachal Pradesh.

4:the Executive Engineer (E)

Age: 0

Occupation :

Daporijo Electrical Division

Daporijo

Upper Subansiri District
Arunachal Pradesh.

5:the Chairman (Executive Engineer) (E)

Age: 0

Occupation :

Daporijo Electrical Division

Department of Power

Daporijo

D.P.C Meeting dated 23/10/2017 for promotion of W/C cadre vide office order No. DAEW/WC-3/2017-18/976-79 dated 21/10/2017

6:the Member (Assistant Engineer) (E)

Age: 0

Occupation :

Daporijo Electrical Division

Department of Power

Daporijo D.P.C Meeting dated 23/10/2017 for promotion of W/C cadre vide office order No. DAEW/WC-3/2017-18/976-79 dated 21/10/2017.

7:the Member (Assistant Surveyor of Works) (E)

Age: 0

Occupation :

Daporijo Electrical Division

Department of Power

Daporijo

D.P.C Meeting dated 23/10/2017 for promotion of W/C cadre vide office order No. DAEW/WC-3/2017-18/976-79 dated 21/10/2017

8:Oken Nyigang

Age: 0

Occupation :

S/o Tageng Nyigang

W/C Electrician (C-2)

in the office of Executive Engineer

Pasighat Electrical Division

Pasighat

District East Siang

Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Karyom Dabi, Mukbom Pertin, Rimo Riba, Tshering Yangzom Bhutia, D Riba, K Tayeng

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP), Lissing Perme, SC(Power)

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MANASH RANJAN PATHAK**

ORDER

Date : 06.05.2025

Heard Ms. T. Y. Bhutia, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. T. Wangmo, learned State Government appearing for respondent No. 1 and Mr. L. Perme, learned Standing Counsel, Power Department appearing for respondent Nos. 2 to 7.

Perused the office note dated 05.12.2024. Also perused the report of the process server of the Postal Department. It is seen that the respondent No. 8 has refused to accept the notice of this case. As such, it is clear that the respondent No. 8 is aware of the contention of this writ petition. Accordingly, notice on the respondent No. 8 is presumed to be served.

Service of notice, accordingly, on the respondents including the private respondent No. 8 is complete.

Henceforth, this proceeding against the respondent No. 8 for the reasons above shall proceed, ex-parte in this case.

Notice in this case was issued on 26.06.2023.

The matter relates to rejecting the better claim of the petitioner in the Trade Test dated 23.10.2017 by the Departmental Promotion Committee (DPC) and considering the respondent No. 8 above the petitioner for his promotion.

The respondent Nos. 1 to 7 shall file their respective affidavit(s) on or before 30.05.2025 without fail serving its copies to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

List on **04.06.2025**.

Failure on the part of the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to 7 to file their respective affidavit(s) on or before 30.05.2025, this writ petition shall proceed ex-parte against the respondents considering the fact that notice in this case was issued way back on 26.06.2023.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant