

GAHC040008392023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/285/2023

Talum Haji
S/o Tako Haji,
Serving as W/C Electrician (C 1), in the office of Dumporijo Electrical Sub Division,
District Upper Subansiri and a Resident of Forest Colony, Daporijo near Range
Office, Daporijo, Arunachal Pradesh.
917085742377

VERSUS

THE STATE OF AP and 7 Ors.
Represented through Chief Secretary, Govt. of AP, Itanagar. 2:the Secretary
(Power)
Age: 0
Occupation :
Govt. of AP
Itanagar.

3:the Superintendent of Engineer (E)
Age: 0
Occupation :
AP
Electrical Circle V
Department of Power
Ziro
Lower Subansiri
Arunachal Pradesh.

4:the Executive Engineer (E)
Age: 0
Occupation :
Daporijo Electrical Division
Daporijo
Upper Subansiri District

Arunachal Pradesh.

5:the Chairman (Executive Engineer) (E)

Age: 0

Occupation :

Daporijo Electrical Division

Department of Power

Daporijo

D.P.C Meeting dated 23/10/2017 for promotion of W/C cadre vide office order No. DAEW/WC-3/2017-18/976-79 dated 21/10/2017

6:the Member (Assistant Engineer) (E)

Age: 0

Occupation :

Daporijo Electrical Division

Department of Power

Daporijo D.P.C Meeting dated 23/10/2017 for promotion of W/C cadre vide office order No. DAEW/WC-3/2017-18/976-79 dated 21/10/2017.

7:the Member (Assistant Surveyor of Works) (E)

Age: 0

Occupation :

Daporijo Electrical Division

Department of Power

Daporijo

D.P.C Meeting dated 23/10/2017 for promotion of W/C cadre vide office order No. DAEW/WC-3/2017-18/976-79 dated 21/10/2017

8:Oken Nyigang

Age: 0

Occupation :

S/o Tageng Nyigang

W/C Electrician (C-2)

in the office of Executive Engineer

Pasighat Electrical Division

Pasighat

District East Siang

Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Karyom Dabi

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP)

**BEFORE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE NELSON SAILO**

ORDER

22.08.2023

Parties are represented by their respective counsels except for the respondent No. 8. Mr. L. Perme, learned Standing Counsel for the Power Department submits that he has not received extra copies of the writ petition. Petitioner to serve requisite extra copies of the writ petition to Mr. L. Perme within two (2) days. Ms. R. Basar, learned Govt. Advocate submits that the respondent No. 1 will not be filing any affidavit.

As for the respondent No. 8, office note dated 19.08.2023 indicates that the notice sent to respondent No. 8 has come back with a postal note 'Refused through Telephonic talk'.

In view of above, petitioner to take fresh steps for service of notice upon the respondent No. 8 through registered post with A/D due within three (3) days. Petitioner is allowed to take back the unserved notice and writ petition which has come back from the respondent No. 8.

List this matter again after three (3) weeks.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant