

GAHC040008122019



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : CrI.A./11/2019

SHRI JORAM PONIO
AGED ABOUT 45 YRS. S/O LT. JORAM BATH, VILLAGE JORAM, PO/PS ZIRO,
DIST. LOWER SUBANSIRI, AP. PHONE NO. 9383388656

VERSUS

THE STATE OF A.P.
THROUGH THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, GAUHATI HIGH COURT

2:SHRI NEELAM HARIN
Age: 0
Occupation :
S/O LT. NEELAM TAMAR
VILLAGE NEELAM
POO/PS ZIRO
DIST. LOWER SUBANSIRI
AP

Advocate for the Petitioner : MrJ Likha

Advocate for the Respondent : MrN Taje

**BEFORE
HONBLE MRS. JUSTICE MITALI THAKURIA**

ORDER

Date : 01.02.2024

None appears for the appellant on call.

Heard Mr. N. Taje, learned counsel appearing for the respondent No. 2 and also Mr. G. Tado, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State respondent.

It is submitted by Mr. N. Taje, learned counsel that since last 11 to 12 consecutive days the learned counsel for the appellant remained absent without any steps. From the record also it reveals that in several occasion the learned counsel for the appellant remained absent and it is submitted by Mr. N. Taje, learned counsel that he had conversation with one of the engaged counsel Mr. J. Likha and it has been told that none of the advocates whose name has been reflected in the cause list are presently engaged by the appellant, as the wife of the appellant has already taken away the brief from the engaged counsel. However, it is necessary to inform the Court by the learned engaged counsel if they are not appearing further on behalf of the appellant.

Paper book is also ready and the case is otherwise ready for hearing.

Let the matter be listed accordingly after 2(two) weeks, in the event of absence of the appellant, the matter may be heard or decided on merits even in the absence of the learned counsel for the appellant.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant