

GAHC040007872023



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**  
**(ITANAGAR BENCH)**

**Case No. : Crl.Petn. 105/2023**

1:Siang Tamut  
S/O Late Taro Tamut,permanent r/o Parong Village,PO/PS-Boleng,District-Siang,Arunachal Pradesh.Preseeeeeeeently posted as SMO,Bekhuliang,O/O the District Medical Officer,Tezu,District-Lohit,Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

1:THE STATE OF AP  
represented by learned Public Prosecutor,Special Investigation Cell

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : Lissing Perme

**Advocate for the Respondent** : SPL.P.P.

**BEFORE**  
**HONBLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE**

**ORDER**

**Date : 16-06-2023**

Heard Mr. L. Perme, learned counsel for the petitioner.

By filing this application under section 482 of Cr.PC, 1973, the petitioner challenges the order dated 18.04.2023 whereby the charges has been framed against the petitioner under sections 120(B) IPC read with section 7 & 13 (1) (2) of PC Act, 1988 in SIC PS case no. 18/2020.

Mr. L. Perme, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after the amendment of the PC Act, even if it is proved that the accused has attempted to accept the gratification, it is no longer in interest.

Issue notice returnable by three weeks.

Steps be taken for service of notice on the sole respondent within two days.

Call for the scanned copy of the LCR.

The learned counsel for the petitioner may renew his prayer for interim order on the next date.

List it after three weeks.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**