

GAHC040007562019



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/258/2019

SHRI GROP DORJEE MEYOR
S/O LT. SMTI PEMA LHAMU MEYOR, RESIDENT OF WALONG, PO WALONG,
PS HAWAI, DIST. ANJAW, AP.

2: SHRI DUNGAL TEZING MEYOR

Age:

Occupation :

S/O LT. SMTI PEMA LHAMU MEYOR

RESIDENT OF WALONG

PO WALONG

PS HAWAI

DISTRICT

ANJAW

AP

VERSUS

THE STATE OF A.P.

THROUGH THE CHIEF SECRETARY, GOVT. FOA P, ITANAGAR, AP.

2:THE SECRETARY

Age: 0

Occupation :

LAND MANAGEMENT

GOVT. OF A P

ITANAGAR.

3:THE DIRECTOR

Age: 0

Occupation :

LAND MANAGEMENT

GOVT. OF AP

ITANAGAR.

4:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

Age: 0

Occupation :

ANJAW DISTRICT

HAWAI

AP.

5:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

Age: 0

Occupation :

TEZU

DISTRICT LOHIT

AP.

6:THE CIRCLE OFFICER

Age: 0

Occupation :

WALONG

DISTRICT ANJAW

AP.

7:THE UNION OF INDIA

Age: 0

Occupation :

THROUGH SECRETARY MINISTRY OF DEFENSE

GOVT. OF INDIA

NEW DELHI.

8:THE DIRECTOR NE-II

Age: 0

Occupation :

GOVT. OF INDIA

MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

NE DIVISION

NORTH BLOCK

NEW DELHI.

9:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL DEFENSE ESTATE

Age: 0

Occupation :

GOVT. OF INDIA

MINISTRY OF DEFENSE

RAKSHA SAMPADA BHAWAN

NEW DELHI.

10:THE PRINCIPAL DIRECTOR

Age: 0

Occupation :

DEFENSE ESTATE OFFICER
JORTHAT CIRCLE
JORTHAT-785005
ASSAM.

11:THE COMMANDANT
Age: 0
Occupation :
82 MTN
BDE
C/O 99 AP

Advocate for the Petitioner : MrM Karbak, Mukbom Pertin,Mr N Lowang,G Sangma,R Riba,L Kamsi,MR.M Pertin, Sr. Advocate,MrK Dabi,D Tatak,K Dubey,MR.W Sawin

Advocate for the Respondent : CGC, MrD Kamduk,SC(L & M),,,,,,GA (AP),MrN Ratan,Marto Kato,DSGI

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUMAN SHYAM**

ORDER

Date : 13.02.2025

Heard Mr. M. Pertin, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Mr. K. Dabi, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners. Also heard Ms. G. Ete, learned Additional Senior Government Advocate, State of Arunachal Pradesh appearing for the respondent Nos. 1, 4, 5 & 6; Mr. D. Kamduk, learned Standing Counsel, Land Management Department appearing the respondent Nos. 2 & 3 and Mr. M. Kato, learned DySGI appearing for the respondent Nos. 7, 9, 10 & 11.

The remaining respondents are not present today.

After hearing the matter for some time, it transpires that certain statements/averments made in the counter-affidavit filed by the respondent Nos. 4 & 5 deserves adequate rebuttal, failing which, the petitioners' case in the present form cannot progress any further.

On the request of the learned counsel for the petitioners, 4 (four) weeks' time is therefore, granted for filing the rejoinder-affidavit.

Let this writ petition be listed for final hearing again after 4 (four) weeks, or as and when, the rejoinder-affidavit is brought on record, which ever is earlier.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant