

GAHC040007232022



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/216/2022

Gebom Angu
Son of Lt. Lige Angu,
Permanent Resident of Angu Village, PO/PS Aalo, West Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA and 8 Ors.
Through the Secretary, Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Govt. of India,
Transport Bhawan, 1 Parliament Street, New Delhi.2:The Secretary
Age: 0
Occupation :
Ministry of Road Transport and Highways
Govt. of India
Transport Bhawan
1 Parliament Street
New Delhi.

3:THE STATE OF AP
Age: 0
Occupation :
Through the Secretary
Land Management
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh.

4:The Secretary
Age: 0
Occupation :
Land Management
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar.

5:The Chief Engineer

Age: 0
Occupation :
Highway Zone
Public Works Department
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar.

6:The Deputy Commissioner
Age: 0
Occupation :
West Siang District
Aalo
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh.

7:The Extra Assistant Commissioner
Age: 0
Occupation :
Tirbin
West Siang District
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh.

8:The Circle Officer
Age: 0
Occupation :
Bagra
West Siang District
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh.

9:The District Land Revenue and Survey Officer
Age: 0
Occupation :
Aalo
West Siang District
Aalo
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Dicky Panging, D Tamuk,M Doji,T Tayeng,Doge Lona,Kirmar Ado

Advocate for the Respondent : Marto Kato, GA (AP),Rintu Saikia,SC(Highway)

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG**

ORDER

Date : 25.09.2025

Heard Ms. Diana Tamuk, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Y. Riram, learned Government Advocate for respondent Nos. 3, 4, 6, 7, 8 & 9, have prayed for some time to file the affidavit(s)-in-opposition on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 & 4.

Ms. Y. Gao, learned counsel, appearing on behalf of Mr. Marto Kato, learned DSGI for respondent Nos. 1 & 2; and Mr. M. Taso, learned counsel, appearing on behalf of Mr. Rintu Saikia, learned standing counsel, Highways for respondent No. 5, have also prayed for some time to obtain their necessary instructions in the matter.

Ms. Tamuk, learned counsel for the petitioner, has also prayed for some time to file an affidavit-in-reply to the affidavit-in-opposition filed by the State respondent Nos. 6, 7, 8 & 9.

Prayer is accepted.

In view of the above and as prayed for, list this matter after the ensuing Durga Puja vacation.

In the meantime, the parties shall file their respective affidavits.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant