

GAHC040007232022



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C) 216/2022

1:Gebom Angu
Son of Lt. Lige Angu,
Permanent Resident of Angu Village, PO/PS Aalo, West Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

1:THE UNION OF INDIA and 8 Ors.
Through the Secretary, Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Govt. of India,
Transport Bhawan, 1 Parliament Street, New Delhi.

2:The Secretary
Ministry of Road Transport and Highways
Govt. of India
Transport Bhawan
1 Parliament Street
New Delhi.

3:THE STATE OF AP
Through the Secretary
Land Management
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh.

4:The Secretary
Land Management
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar.

5:The Chief Engineer
Highway Zone
Public Works Department
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar.

6:The Deputy Commissioner
West Siang District
Aalo
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh.

7:The Extra Assistant Commissioner
Tirbin
West Siang District
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh.

8:The Circle Officer
Bagra
West Siang District
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh.

9:The District Land Revenue and Survey Officer
Aalo
West Siang District
Aalo
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Dicky Panging
Advocate for the Respondent : Marto Kato

BEFORE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE NANI TAGIA

ORDER

Date : 20-06-2022

Heard Mr. Dicky Panging, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Also heard Mr. Marto Kato, learned ASG, appearing on behalf of respondents No. 1 & 2; Mr. Dugmar Kamduk, learned standing counsel, Department of Land Management, Government of Arunachal Pradesh, appearing on behalf of respondents No. 3 & 4; and Mr. Subu Tapin, learned Senior Government Advocate, appearing on behalf of respondents No. 6, 7, 8 & 9.

Let notice of motion be issued calling upon the respondents to show cause as to why a Rule should not be issued as prayed for; and/or why such further or other order(s) should not be passed as to this Court may deem fit and proper. The notice is made returnable in 6 weeks.

As Mr. Kato, learned ASG, Mr. Kamduk, learned standing counsel, Department of Land Management, and Mr. Tapin, learned Senior Government Advocate, have accepted notices on behalf of their respective respondents; no formal notice is required to be sent to the said respondents. However, they shall be provided with the requisite extra copies of the writ petition during the course of the day.

Notice to the Respondent No. 5, shall be served through Mr. Rintu Saikia, learned standing counsel, within 3 days from today.

List it after 6 weeks.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant