

GAHC040006962023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : IA(C)/96/2023

The General Manager (Region) and Anr.

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address: Food Corporation of India

G.S. Road

Paltan Bazar

Guwahati.

2: the Area Manager

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address: Food Corporation of India

District Office

Tezpur.

VERSUS

The Jang Large Size Multipurpose Co Operative Society Ltd. and 3 Ors.

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address: Represented by its Managing Director Shri H. Sharma

S/o Late M. R. Sharma

Resident of Jung

PO/PS Jung

District of Tawang

Arunachal Pradesh

District Tawang.

2: THE STATE OF AP

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address: Represented by its Secretary to the Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh

Food and Civil Supplies

Itanagar.

3: the Director

Age: 0
Occupation :
Address:of Civil Supplies
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh
Naharlagun.

4:the Deputy Commissioner
Age: 0
Occupation :
Address:Tawang
District Tawang
Arunachal Pradesh.

Advocate for : B K Singh
Advocate for : GA (AP) appearing for The Jang Large Size Multipurpose Co Operative
Society Ltd. and 3 Ors.: Advocate, appearing for 2, 3, 4, respectively.

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG**

ORDER

Date : 08.01.2026

Heard Mr. B. K. Singh, learned counsel for the applicant. Also heard Mr. Jambey Tsering Kee, learned counsel for Opposite Party No. 1 and Ms. Pubi Pangu, learned Government Advocate, for Opposite Parties No. 2, 3 & 4.

This is an application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963, seeking condonation of delay of 52 days in preferring the connected review petition.

The reasons for delay in not filing the connected review petition within the stipulated time, has been explained by the applicant in paragraphs No. 2 to 8 of this application.

Upon hearing the learned counsels appearing for the parties and on perusal of the explanation given in the above-noted paragraphs; this Court is satisfied that the applicant was prevented by a sufficient cause in not preferring the

connected review petition within the stipulated time. Moreover, the respondents have no objection condoning the delay, in question.

In view of the above; the delay of 52 days in preferring the connected review petition is hereby condoned.

The interlocutory application accordingly stands allowed and disposed of.

As requested, list the connected review petition being Rev.P. 06(AP)2023 for admission after 4(four) weeks on a date to be fixed by the Registry.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant