

GAHC040006742025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/197/2025

Sokhep Kri and Anr
Son of Late K Kri, permanent resident of Paya Village, PO and PS Sunpura, Lohit
District, Arunachal Pradesh. 2: Khijum Draï

Age:

Occupation :

authorized representative of Paya Village

permanent resident of Village Paya

Po and PS Sunpura

Lohit District

Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

The State of AP and 7 Ors
represented by the Chief Secretary, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar. 2:The
Secretary

Age: 0

Occupation :

Environment and Forest

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Itanagar.

3:The Principal Chief Conservator of Forest

Age: 0

Occupation :

Department of Environment and Forest

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Itanagar.

4:The Conservator of Forest (Cons)

Age: 0

Occupation :

Department of Environment and Forest

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar.

5:The Chief Conservator of Forest
Age: 0
Occupation :
EAC
Tezu
Department of Environment and Forest
Lohit District
Arunachal Pradesh.

6:The Divisional Forest Officer
Age: 0
Occupation :
Tezu
Lohit District
Arunachal Pradesh.

7:The Deputy Commissioner
Age: 0
Occupation :
Tezu
Lohit District
Arunachal Pradesh.

8:The Union of India
Age: 0
Occupation :
represented by the Secretary
Ministry of Environment
Forest and Climate Change
Govt of India
Indira Paryavaran Bhawan
Jorbagh Road
New Delhi 11000

Advocate for the Petitioner : Rintu Saikia, Mindo Taso, Ligam Nochi, Vijay Jamoh

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP), DSGI, Marto Kato

-BEFORE-

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANISH CHOUDHURY

22.04.2026

-ORDER-

Heard Mr. I. Bam, learned counsel for the petitioners. Also heard Mr. R. H. Nabam, learned Addl. Advocate General, Arunachal Pradesh for the respondent Nos. 1 to 7 and Mr. T. Metho, learned counsel on behalf of Mr. M. Kato, learned Dy.S.G.I. for respondent No. 8.

- 2.** An affidavit-in-opposition has been filed on behalf of respondent No. 5.
- 3.** Mr. R. H. Nabam, learned Addl. Advocate General has submitted that he would obtain instructions as to whether any further affidavits-in-opposition on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 & 7 are required to be filed or not and if required, he would file such affidavits-in-opposition within 4 (four) weeks'. He has, therefore, sought for listing of the case after 4 (four) weeks.
- 4.** The prayer made by Mr. Nabam, learned Addl. Advocate General is accepted.
- 5.** List the case after 4 (four) weeks on a date to be fixed by the Registry.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant