

GAHC040006002020



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C) 227/2020

1: BISWAJIT MANDAL
S/O LT. BISWESHAWAR MANDAL, WORKING AS ASSISTANT SUB-
INSPECTOR (TELECOM), PHQ, CHIMPU, ITANAGAR, PO R.KI.MISSION, PS
ITANAGAR, DIST. PAPUM PARE, AP.

VERSUS

1: THE STATE OF AP
REPRESENTED THROUGH THE CHIEF SECRETARY, GOVT. OF AP,
ITANAGAR.

2: THE COMMISSIONER HOME
GOVT. OF AP
ITANAGAR.

3: THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
GOVT. OF AP
PHQ
ITANAGAR.

4: THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
GOVT. OF AP
PHQ
ITANAGAR.

5: THE ASSISTANT INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
GOVT. OF AP PHQ
ITANAGAR.

6: THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE (TELECOM) CHIMPU
ITANAGAR
PO R.K.MISSION
PS ITANAGAR
DISTRICT PAPUM PARE
AP

Advocate for the Petitioner : Arup Bhattacharjee
Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP)

BEFORE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE NANI TAGIA

ORDER

Date : 09-09-2020

Heard Mr. A. Bhattacharjee, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Also heard Mr. I. Riram, learned Addl. Senior Government Advocate, appearing on behalf of all the respondents.

Let notice of motion be issued calling upon the respondents to show cause as to why a Rule should not be issued as prayed for; and/or why such further or other order(s) should not be passed as to this Court may deem fit and proper.

The notice is made returnable in 6 weeks.

As Mr. Riram, learned Addl. Senior Government Advocate, has accepted notices on behalf of all the respondents; no formal notice is required to be sent to the said respondents. However, he shall be provided with the requisite extra copies of the writ petition during the course of the day.

List it after 6(six) weeks.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant