

GAHC040005622026



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : IA(C)/87/2026

Nabam Tagam
Son of Shri Nabam March, Model Village, Naharlagun, PO and PS Naharlagun,
Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh. 791110

VERSUS

The Deputy Commissioner and 6 Ors
Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh. 2:The Circle Officer
Age: 0
Occupation :
Gumto Circle
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh 791112

3:Teli Tadap
Age: 0
Occupation :
Son of Late Teli Niya
Bogoli Village
Gumto Circle
PO and PS Doimukh
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh 791112

4:Smti Tajin Yaming
GB Denka
Age: 0
Occupation :
Wife of Shri Tajin Kor
Village Denka
Gumto Circle
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh 791112

5:Taba Tagam
GB Denka
Age: 0
Occupation :
Son of Late Taba Kehi
Sareh Village
Gumto Circle
PO and PS Doimukh
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh.791111

6:Taying Rania Tarh
Age: 0
Occupation :
Son of Late Taying Bado
Sareh Village
Gumto Circle
PO and PS Doimukh
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh.791111

7:The President
Age: 0
Occupation :
All Arunachal Pradesh Gaon Burah and Gaon Buri Welfare Association and Presiding
Customary Judge
Upper Dobam Village
Banderdewa Circle
PO and PS Banderdewa
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Regum Nabam, Apra Linggi,Rima Taipodia

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP),

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE

ORDER

Date : 24.04.2026

Heard Mr. R. Nabam, learned counsel for the applicant.

This is an application praying for impleadment of the applicant on the ground that applicant is aggrieved by the notice issued by the Gaoh Burah of Denka Village under Gumto Circle whereby, the applicant is informed that a complaint has been received regarding land dispute between the applicant and one Shri Teli Tadap for resolution.

Having considered that the notice issued to the applicant, by which, he is stated to be aggrieved, this Court finds it not appropriate to allow this application as the petitioner is aggrieved by the notice issued to him which he has a remedy to challenge the same by an appropriate application.

Accordingly, interlocutory application stands dismissed.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant