

GAHC040005482024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : CRP/17/2024

Hayeng Mangfi
Son of Late Tangu Mangfi, proprietor of M/s H.M.Traders, resident of Sinki Park,
Itanagar, PO and PS Itanagar, Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

Arunachal Pradesh State Co-operative Apex Bank Ltd and Anr
represented by its Managing Director, D Sector, Naharlagun, Papumpare District,
Arunachal Pradesh 791110

2:The Branch Manager
Age: 0
Occupation :
Arunachal Pradesh State Co-operative Apex Bank Ltd
Itanagar Branch
Itanagar 79111

Advocate for the Petitioner : Teto Taba, Nimcha Hondique, Anong kabak, Vijay Duku Raji, Begi
Taba, C Ering

Advocate for the Respondent : Pritam Taffo, SC, Apex Bank

Linked Case : CRP/16/2024

Hayeng Mangfi
Age: 50
Occupation :
Address: Son of Late Tangu Mangfi

proprietor of M/s H.M.Traders
resident of Sinki Park
Itanagar
PO and PS Itanagar
Papumpare District
Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

Arunachal Pradesh State Co-operative Apex Bank Ltd and Anr
Age: 0
Occupation :
Address:represented by its Managing Director
D Sector
Naharlagun
Papumpare District
Arunachal Pradesh 791110

2:The Branch Manager
Age: 0
Occupation :
Address:Arunachal Pradesh State Co-operative Apex Bank Ltd
Itanagar Branch
Itanagar 791111

Advocate for : Teto Taba
Advocate for : Pritam Taffo appearing for Arunachal Pradesh State Co-operative Apex
Bank Ltd and Anr: Advocate , appearing for 2, respectively.

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG

ORDER

Date : 01.04.2026

Heard Mr. T. Taba, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. P. Taffo,
learned standing counsel for the Apex Bank assisted by Ms. M. Karbak,
learned counsel for the respondents.

2. Mr. Taba, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that in compliance with the order passed by this Court dated 23.09.2025, the petitioner has deposited Rs. 30,00,000/- (Rupees Thirty Lakh) on dated 14.11.2025 and subsequently Rs. 40,00,000/- (Rupees Forty Lakh) on dated 11.02.2026 towards the repayment, which has been acknowledged by the respondents.

3. Mr. Taba, learned counsel for the petitioner, further undertakes to pay another Rs. 2,00,00,000/- (Rupees Two Crore) by 3rd August, 2026.

4. However, Mr. Taffo, learned standing counsel for the respondents, submits that the petitioner was required to deposit one-third of the total outstanding amount within 6 (six) weeks from the order dated 23.09.2025. However, even after the elapsed of more than six (6) months, the petitioner has deposited only Rs. 70,00,000/- (Rupees Seventy Lakh) till date and therefore, no further time should be extended and he prays for disposal of the matter on merits.

5. Be that as it may, since the petitioner has already deposited Rs. 70,00,000/- (Rupees Seventy Lakh) so far and has undertaken to deposit another amount of Rs. 2,00,00,000/- (Rupees Two Crore) by 3rd August, 2026, this Court deems it appropriate to grant further time to the petitioner to deposit the said amount without fail on or before 3rd August, 2026.

6. It is ordered accordingly.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner shall file a compliance affidavit after depositing the aforesaid amount to bring on record the proof of such deposit on or before 04.08.2026.

8. However, it is made clear that in the event of failure to deposit the aforesaid amount of Rs. 2,00,00,000/- (Rupees Two Crore) on or before 3rd

August, 2026, the matter shall be heard and disposed of on merits.

9. List this matter again on **05.08.2026**.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant