

GAHC040004552024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/155/2024

M/s Bagang Kerosene Agency and Anr
represented by its proprietor Shri Tara Bagang, Son of Late Sama Bagang, a resident
of Type IV Colony, Seppa, PO and PS Seppa, East Kameng District, Arunachal
Pradesh 2: M/s TKS Service Station

Age:

Occupation :

represented by its attorney holoder Srhi Tara Bagang

Son of Late Sama Bagang

a resident of Type IV Colony

Seppa

PO and PS Seppa

East Kameng District

Arunachal Prades

VERSUS

The State of AP and 6 Ors

represented by the Secretary, Land Management, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,

Itanagar. 2:The Deputy Commissioner

Age: 0

Occupation :

Seppa

East Kameng District

Arunachal Pradesh

3:The Extra Assistant Commissioner (Jud)

Age: 0

Occupation :

Seppa

PO and PS Seppa

East Kameng District

Arunachal Pradesh

4:The Chief Regional Manager

Age: 0

Occupation :

Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited (HPCL)

Guwahati Retail Regional Office

2nd Floor

Janapath HD Complex

GS Road

Ulubari

Guwahati

Assam 781007

5:Aliza Tungi

Age: 0

Occupation :

Wife of Shri Pacha Yangfo

C/o Anya Auto Agency

Wessang

PO and PS Seppa

East Kameng District

Arunachal Pradesh

6:Tache Taku

Age: 0

Occupation :

Wife of Shri Bida Taku

Pachin colony

Naharlagun

PO and PS Naharlagun

Papumpare District

Arunachal Pradesh 791110

7:Damsap Nikja

Age: 0

Occupation :

Wife of Shri Nikja Tajo

C/o Anya Auto Agency

Wessang

PO and PS Seppa

East Kameng District

Arunachal Pradesh 79010

Advocate for the Petitioner : Abhai Kumar Singh, Tsering Nyima, Nabam Kakum, Takar Bagang

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP), R Jacob, Gallen Taloh, Tabit Tapak, Tabit Tapak

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MANISH CHOUDHURY**

ORDER

Date : 21.04.2025

Heard Mr. N. Kakum, learned counsel for the petitioners; Ms. K. Wangmu, learned Junior Government Advocate, Arunachal Pradesh, appearing for the respondent nos.1, 2 and 3; as well as Mr. G. Taloh, learned counsel for the respondent nos.5, 6 and 7.

Mr. N. Kakum, learned counsel for the petitioners, has submitted that the respondent nos.4, 5, 6 and 7 have filed their respective counter-affidavits, but the respondent nos.1, 2 and 3 have not filed their counter-affidavit till date. He has further submitted that he would need 2(two) weeks' time to file the affidavit-in-reply to the affidavit-in-opposition filed by the respondent nos.4, 5, 6 and 7.

On the other hand, Ms. K. Wangmu, learned Junior Government Advocate for the respondent nos.1, 2 and 3 has prayed for and is granted 3(three) weeks' time to obtain necessary instructions and to file counter-affidavit on behalf of the respondent nos.1, 2 and 3.

The prayers made by the learned counsel for the petitioners and Ms. Wangmu, learned Junior Government Advocate for the respondents are allowed.

List the case after 3(three) weeks.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant