

GAHC040003992022



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : Crl.A. 10/2022

1:Yasa Rakshap and Anr.
W/o Late Lipo Rakshap,
Resident of Camp Tato, PO/PS Tato, Shi Yomi District, Arunachal Pradesh.
M. No 8415965800

2: Yadam Rakshap
W/o Late Tochuk Rakshap

Resident of Camp Tato
PO/PS Tato
Shi Yomi District
Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

1:THE STATE OF AP and 3 Ors.
Represented through Learned Public Prosecutor.

2:Gamme Rakshap (A5)
S/o Lt. Chiggam Rakshap

R/o Quing Village

PO/PS Tato
Shi Yomi District
Arunachal Pradesh.

3:Taiger Rakshap (A6)
S/o Sri Tayor Rakshap

R/o Quing Village

PO/PS Tato

District Shi Yomi
Arunachal Pradesh.

4:Tato Rakshap (A7)
S/o Sri Kadu Rakshap

R/o Quing Village

PO/PS Tato
District Shi Yomi

Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Lissing Perme

Advocate for the Respondent : P P of AP

BEFORE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE A M BUJOR BARUA
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH

ORDER

Date : 23-08-2022

(A. M. Bujor Barua, J)

On 04.08.2022, the petitioner remained absent and again on 18.08.2022, petitioner remained absent, wherein, it was observed that if none appears for the petitioner on the subsequent date of listing i.e. 23.08.2022, adverse order shall follow.

Today, when the matter is taken up, none appeared for the petitioner on call, therefore, the same stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

We also take note that in the order, dated 18.08.2022, it was provided that on the next returnable date, the petitioner to explain the *locus standi* as well as maintainability of the PIL petition. No such explanation is also forthcoming, for which again the PIL deserves to be closed.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant