

GAHC040003802024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : Crl.Petn./36/2024

Tamuk Tagio
Son of Late Tamuk Take, resident of 2 KM, Papu Nallah, Naharlagun, PO
Naharlagun, PS Papu Hill, Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

The State of AP and Anr
represented by the PP of AP 2:Kipa Niya
Age: 0
Occupation :
Son of Shri Kipa Eking
resident of C Sector
Naharlagun
PO and PS Naharlagun
Papumpare District
Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : T T Tara

Advocate for the Respondent : P P of AP

BEFORE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE N. UNNI KRISHNAN NAIR

ORDER

Date : 24.05.2024

Heard Mr. K. Lollen, the learned counsel for the petitioner and
also heard Mr. G. Tado, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for

the State respondent.

Mr. K. Lollen, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that he would be furnishing the correct address of the respondent No.2 and thereafter, would take steps for service of notice upon the said respondent.

It is to be noted that the notice as issued to the said respondent No.2 was returned with a postal note "cannot be located". Further, the notice issued through usual service was also returned from the establishment of the CJM-cum-Civil Judge(Sr.Division), Yupia with a note "due to not found in given address".

In view of the above, the petitioner is granted a week time to take fresh steps for issuance of notice upon the respondent No.2 along with the correct address of the said respondent.

Registry on such steps been taken shall issue notice upon the respondent No.2 by registered post with A/D and also by way of usual process.

List this matter after 4(four) weeks on a date to be fixed by the Registry.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant