

GAHC040003712025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/101/2025

Hojum Tao
Son of Late Kaho Tao, resident of Liru Colony, PO and PS Likabali, District Lower Siang, Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

The State of AP and 3 Ors
represented by the Chief Secretary, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar. 2:The
Deputy Commissioner
Age: 0
Occupation :
Likabali
District Lower Siang
Arunachal Pradesh.

3:Donya Taipodia
HGB
Age: 0
Occupation :
Liru Village
PO and PS Likabali
Lower Siang District
Arunachal Pradesh.

4:Moge Taipodia
GB
Age: 0
Occupation :
Liru Village
PO and PS Likabali
Lower Siang District
Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Dugmar Kamduk, Mipe Ete, Mitem Ratan, Lokam Tadam, Bate Joel Koyu, Kirpok Eshi

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP),

**BEFORE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE SOUMITRA SAIKIA**

ORDER

Date : 27.08.2025

Heard Mr. D. Kamduk, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. G. Ete, learned Additional Senior Government Advocate for the State respondents No.1 and 2.

Ms. Ete, learned Additional Senior Government Advocate submits that the affidavit is ready to be filed and will be filed during the course of this week.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that notice on respondents No.3 and 4 are required to be issued, as there are certain allegations against them personally.

Ms. Ete, learned Additional Senior Government Advocate objects to the submission made and submits before the Court that the land which the petitioner is claiming is a part of the school compound and, therefore, there is no question of allotment of the land within the land allotted to the school. Therefore, at this stage, notice on the respondents No.3 and 4 is not necessary as the land which the petitioner is claiming is not private land but government land.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, the Government is permitted to file the affidavit within the course of the week as submitted, and the petitioner may also file his reply.

If, upon perusal of the affidavit, the Court feels that the presence of respondents No.3 and 4 are necessary, then proper notices will be issued to them by the Court.

Let this matter be listed after **1(one) week.**

Meanwhile, no coercive action shall be taken against the writ petitioner without the leave of the Court till the next date.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant