

GAHC040002792023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WA/5/2023

Ragbindar Ray
S/o Shri Shibalak Ray,
R/o Quarter No. 4, Type I, R.K. Mission Complex, Itanagar 791113, Arunachal Pradesh.
Cell No. 9436241220

VERSUS

THE STATE OF AP and 4 Ors.
Represented by the Commissioner Power, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
2:Chief Engineer (P)

Age: 0
Occupation :
Transmission
Planning and Monitoring Zone
Department of Power
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh. (AP)

3:Superintending Engineer (E)
Age: 0
Occupation :
Electrical Transmission Circle
Department of Power
Itanagar
791111
(AP)

4:Executive Engineer (E)
Age: 0
Occupation :
Electrical Transmission Circle
Department of Power
Itanagar

791111
(AP)

5:Ayido Mize
Age: 0
Occupation :
Khalasi Computer Operator (W/C)
C/o Executive Engineer (E)
State Load Despatch Centre and Transmission Division II
Department of Power. Arunachal Pradesh. Itanagar 791111(AP)

Advocate for the Petitioner : Abhai Kumar Singh, Takar Bagang,J Lomi

Advocate for the Respondent : Lissing Perme, Nani Anju,SC(Power)

BEFORE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE SOUMITRA SAIKIA
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJAN MONI KALITA

ORDER

25.08.2025

(Soumitra Saikia, J)

Heard Mr. A.K. Singh, learned counsel for the appellant. Also heard Mr. S Yirang, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. L Perme, learned Standing Counsel (Power) for the respondents No. 1 to 4.

The learned counsel for the appellant submits on instructions that the private respondent No. 5 namely, Miss Ayido Mize, has subsequently joined in the same Department. She has been transferred from the establishment of Executive Engineer (E) SLDC, Division, Chimpu, Itanagar and posted under the Executive Engineer (E), Rumgong Electrical Division, Rumgong against an existing vacancy.

In that view of the matter, one post of Work charged Khalasi has fallen vacant under the Executive Engineer, SLDC.

The learned counsel for the appellant submits that in view of liberty granted by the learned Single Judge that dismissal of the writ petition shall not foreclose the claim of the writ petitioner against future vacancies, it is submitted that the appellant may be considered for the resultant vacancy.

The learned counsel for the respondents submits that he would like to complete his instructions on this aspect.

Accordingly as prayed for, matter be listed again on 03.09.2025.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant