

GAHC040002712026



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/71/2026

Praveen Tayang
Son of Late Bramtingso Tayang, resident of Tafragam Village, PO Tafragam, PS Tezu,
Lohit District, Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

The State of AP and 3 Ors
represented by the Secretary, Land Management, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,
Itanagar.

2:The Secretary
Age: 0
Occupation :
Department of Power
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar.

3:The Deputy Commissioner
Age: 0
Occupation :
Lohit District
PO and PS Tezu
Arunachal Pradesh.

4:The District Forest Officer
Age: 0
Occupation :
Tezu Forest Division
Lohit District
PO and PS Tezu
Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Duge Soki,

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP), SC(Power),Lissing Perme

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE**

ORDER

Date : 27.02.2026

Heard Mr. D. Soki, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Being aggrieved by non-forwarding of the claim for compensation for damage of medicinal plants caused during the execution of a project undertaken by the Power Grid Corporation of India Limited (hereinafter referred to as "PGCIL") by the Deputy Commissioner, Lohit District, this writ petition is instituted.

It is the case of the petitioner that the State of Arunachal Pradesh, through its Department of Power, formulated a scheme titled "*Comprehensive Scheme for Strengthening of Transmission and Distribution Network in Arunachal Pradesh*", to be implemented through PGCIL. Under the said scheme, a 132 KV transmission line, along with transmission towers, was to be set up. The petitioner contends that his land falls within the project area. Although he has been paid compensation for the land, damage was also caused to medicinal plants situated within the Medicinal Plant Conservation Area on his land. Upon verification by the petitioner and PGCIL, a report was submitted to the Deputy Commissioner. However, despite the completion of the survey and verification process, the Deputy Commissioner has refused to consider and forward the petitioner's claim for compensation for the damage caused to the medicinal plants.

Issue notice, returnable by 3 (three) weeks.

As Mr. N. Ratan, learned Additional Advocate General and Mr. S. Yirang, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. L. Perme, learned Standing Counsel for the Power Department, have entered appearance on behalf of all the respondents, issuance of formal notice is dispensed with. However, the learned counsel for the petitioner shall furnish requisite extra copies of the writ petition to the learned counsel for the respondents during the course of the day.

List after 3 (three) weeks.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant