

GAHC040002582022



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C) 93/2022

1: Biri Mangju and Anr.
S/o Shri Biri Takap,
Village Mangju, Circle Yangte,
PO/PS Palin,
District Kra Daadi, Arunachal Pradesh.
Mobile No. 6909420754

2: Biri Tadak
S/o Shri Biri Tayi

Village Ania Koyar

Circle Yangte
PO/PS Palin

District Kra Daadi
Arunachal Pradesh.
Mobile No. 897464026

VERSUS

1: THE STATE OF AP and 5 Ors.
Represented by the Secretary (Land Management), Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh,
Itanagar 791111

2: The Chief Engineer
Rural Works Department (Western Zone)
Itanagar

3: The Executive Engineer
RWD Jamin Division
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh
District Kra Daadi

Arunachal Pradesh

4:The Deputy Commissioner
Palin
District Kra Daadi
Arunachal Pradesh
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh

5:Tai Tajik
Prop of M/s RTT Enterprises C Sector
Opp GMTD BSNL Office
PO/PS Itanagar 791111

6:The Secretary (RWD)
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanaga

Advocate for the Petitioner : Tabit Tapak

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP)

BEFORE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE

ORDER

Date : 14-06-2023

Heard Mr. I. Riram, learned Additional Senior Government Advocate appearing for respondent No. 4 who submits that he would not be filing counter affidavit, rather rely on the affidavit filed by the other respondents. Mr. D. Kamduk, learned Standing counsel for respondent No. 1 also submits that no counter affidavit will be filed and rather rely on the affidavit filed by the other respondents.

Mr. B. Sibon, appears on behalf of Mr. T. Taki, learned counsel for the petitioners.

Mr. Gimi Tarak, learned counsel for respondent nos. 2, 3 and 6 submits

that though the affidavit is ready, due to mistake on the part of Advocate's Clerk, it could not be filed, therefore, prays for two weeks time to file the same.

Prayer is allowed.

List it after two weeks.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant