

GAHC040002582022



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/93/2022

Biri Mangju and Anr.
S/o Shri Biri Takap,
Village Mangju, Circle Yangte,
PO/PS Palin,
District Kra Daadi, Arunachal Pradesh.
Mobile No. 6909420754

2: Biri Tadak
Age:
Occupation :
S/o Shri Biri Tayi
Village Ania Koyar
Circle Yangte
PO/PS Palin
District Kra Daadi
Arunachal Pradesh.
Mobile No. 897464026

VERSUS

THE STATE OF AP and 5 Ors.
Represented by the Secretary (Land Management), Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh,
Itanagar 791111

2:The Chief Engineer
Age: 0
Occupation :
Rural Works Department (Western Zone)
Itanagar

3:The Executive Engineer
Age: 0
Occupation :

RWD Jamin Division
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh
District Kra Daadi
Arunachal Pradesh

4:The Deputy Commissioner
Age: 0
Occupation :
Palin
District Kra Daadi
Arunachal Pradesh
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh

5:Tai Tajik
Age: 0
Occupation :
Prop of M/s RTT Enterprises C Sector
Opp GMTD BSNL Office
PO/PS Itanagar 791111

6:The Secretary (RWD)
Age: 0
Occupation :
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanaga

Advocate for the Petitioner : Tabit Tapak, T Taki, Y Pangu

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP), Gimi Tarak, SC(RWD)

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE**

ORDER

Date : 11.08.2025

Heard Mr. T. Tapak, learned counsel for the petitioners. Also heard Ms. P. Sangeeta, learned Government Advocate for the State respondent Nos. 1, 4 & 6 and Mr. G. Tarak, learned Standing Counsel, RWD for the respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

After arguing the matter at some length, Mr. Tapak, learned counsel for the

petitioners, has prayed for 6 (six) weeks' time to file affidavit-in-reply to the affidavit-in-opposition filed by the respondent No. 4.

Prayer is allowed.

Accordingly, list this matter after 6 (six) weeks so as to enable the petitioners' side to file their affidavit-in-reply to the affidavit-in-opposition filed on behalf of the respondent No. 4.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant