

GAHC040002562024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : Crl.A./9/2024

Kangge Panyang
S/o Sshri Malung Panyang, resident of Ledum village, PO Bilat, PS Ruksin, East
Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

The State of AP and Anr
represented by the PP

2:XXX
Age: 0
Occupation :
D/o Shri Ocean Gao
resident of Bilat Village
PO Bilat
PS Ruksin
East Siang District
Arunachal Prades

Advocate for the Petitioner : Nalo Pada

Advocate for the Respondent : P P of AP

**BEFORE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG**

ORDER

Date : 09.04.2024

Heard Mr. N. Pada, learned counsel for the applicant. Also heard Mr. T. Ete, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State respondent No. 1 and Mr. L. Perme, learned counsel appearing for the respondent No. 2.

This is an application under Section 374 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, filed against the judgment and order dated 22.09.2023 passed by the learned Special Judge, under POCSO Act, Pasighat in PSG SC (POCSO) Case No. 09/2018 arising out of Pasighat P.S. Case No. 93/2017 under Section 448/506/354(A)(1)(i)/376/34 IPC read with Section 8 of POCSO Act, whereby, the accused has been convicted and sentenced to undergo 3(three) years and 6(six) months with default clause.

The appeal is admitted.

Call for the records.

Issue notice to the respondents, returnable within 4(four) weeks.

Since Mr. T. Ete, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, has entered appearance and accepted notice on behalf of State respondent No. 1 and Mr. L.

Perme, learned counsel has entered appearance and accepted notice on behalf of the respondent No. 2, no formal notice is required to be issued to the said respondents. However, they shall be provided with requisite extra-copy of the petition during the course of the day, if not already furnished.

List the matter after 4(four) weeks along with I.A(Crl)/12(AP)/2024.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant