

GAHC040002432025



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**  
**(ITANAGAR BENCH)**

**Case No. : WP(C)/68/2025**

Jigme Rapten  
Son of Shri Tsering Dorjee, a permanent resident of Dirang Village, PO and PS  
Dirang, West Kameng District, Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

The Union of India and 7 Ors  
represented by the Secretary, Ministry of Defence, Sena Bhawan, Govt of India, New  
Delhi 110011. 2:The Directorate General of Border Roads

Age: 0

Occupation :

Naraina

Kirby Place

Delhi Cantonment

New Delhi 11028

3:The Commander

Age: 0

Occupation :

42 Border Roads Task Force(BRTF) C/o 99 APO

Station Headquarter Rama Camp

Dirang

PO and PS Dirang

West Kameng District

Arunachal Pradesh 790101.

4:The Officer in Command

Age: 0

Occupation :

97 Road Construction Company (RCC) C/o 99 APO

Munna Camp Dirang

PO and PS Dirang

West Kameng District

Arunachal Pradesh 790101.

5:The Commandant

Age: 0

Occupation :

14 BRTF

C/o 99 APO

Station Headquarter Tenga

PO Tenga

PS Rupa

District West Kameng

Arunachal Pradesh.

6:The Deputy Commissioner

Age: 0

Occupation :

Bomdila

PO and PS Bomdila

West Kameng District

Arunachal Pradesh 790001

7:The Additional Deputy Commissioner

Age: 0

Occupation :

Dirang

PO and PS Dirang

West Kameng District

Arunachal Pradesh 790101.

8:The Assistant Engineer

Age: 0

Occupation :

Dirang Sub Division

Public

PO and PS Dirang

West Kameng District

Arunachal Pradesh 790101

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : Binter Picha, Gegum Lollen, Tao Tarin, Jungam Jini

**Advocate for the Respondent** : Marto Kato, GA (AP), DSGI

**BEFORE  
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG**

**ORDER**

**Date : 17.02.2025**

Heard Mr. B. Picha, learned counsel for the petitioner.

The case of the petitioner is that for carrying out a construction and widening of Trans Arunachal Highway from Balipara-Charduar-Tawang, pertaining to Dirang village, West Kameng District, the respondent authorities had acquired land and thereafter, started construction of road. While carrying out such work, heavy damage was caused to the private residential house of the petitioner. On such damages caused to his properties, the petitioner had written an application requesting for payment of adequate compensation. Acknowledging the grievances of the petitioner, the authorities had constituted 8 Members Board to verify and assess the damage. The Board after assessing had submitted a report along with valuation report for payment of compensation of Rs.22,92,740/-(Rupees twenty two lakhs ninety two thousand seven hundred forty) to the petitioner. However, despite such report from the Board, the respondent authority has not yet paid any compensation to the petitioner. Hence, this petition.

Let a notice of motion be issued calling upon the respondents to show cause as to why the Rules should not be issued as prayed for; and/or pass such other order as this Court may deem fit and proper.

Notice is made returnable by 4(four) weeks.

Since, Ms R. Basar, learned Government Advocate has entered

appearance and accepted notice on behalf of respondents No.6, 7 and 8, no formal notice need be issued to the said respondents. However, requisite extra copies of the petition be served on the learned counsel for the respondents during the course of the day.

Mr. B. Picha, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has already served a notice upon the respondents No.1 to 5 represented by Mr. M. Kato, learned DSGI. Mr. B. Picha shall furnish extra requisite copies of the petition to the learned DSGI, within 2(two) days from today.

List the matter after 4(four) weeks.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**