

GAHC040002072026



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : PIL/3/2026

Tamar Nilling and 2 Ors
Son of Late Tagio Nilling, PO and PS Daporijo, Upper Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh. 2: Kapnying Natam

Age:

Occupation :

Son of Shri Rikap Natam

PO and PS Daporijo

Upper Subansiri District.

3: Kebu Dai

Age:

Occupation :

Son of Late Take Dai

PO and PS Daporijo

Upper Subansiri District

VERSUS

The State of AP and 5 Ors
represented by the Chief Secretary, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Civil Secretariat,
Itanagar 791111

2:The Chief Engineer

Age: 0

Occupation :

Central Zone A

PWD

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh.

3:The Superintending Engineer

Age: 0

Occupation :

Daporijo Circle

PWD
Arunachal Pradesh
Daporijo.

4:The Executive Engineer
Age: 0
Occupation :
Daporijo Division
PWD
Arunachal Pradesh
Daporijo.

5:The Deputy Commissioner
Age: 0
Occupation :
Upper Subansiri Circle
Arunachal Pradesh.

6:M/s N T Agency
Age: 0
Occupation :
proprietor Shri Nabam Tadap
Son of Nabam Lillung
permanent resident of Parang Village
PO and PS Sagalee and presently residing at Prem Nagar
PO and PS Naharlagun
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh.

7:The Deputy Commissioner
Age: 0
Occupation :
Upper Subansiri Circle
Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Taja Garam, GICHIK KAKU,Byabang Mema

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP),

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MANISH CHOUDHURY
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE

ORDER

Date : 21.04.2026

[Manish Choudhury, J.]

Heard Ms. B. Mema, learned counsel for the petitioners; and Ms. K. Wangmo, learned Government Advocate, Arunachal Pradesh for the State respondents.

Ms. Mema, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that during the subsequent period, the petitioners have received some more materials which would be of relevance. Ms. Mema has submitted, on instruction, that the petitioners want to withdraw the PIL, with the liberty to file it afresh with more particulars.

Ms. Wangmo, learned State Counsel has no objection to the prayer for withdrawal.

In view of the above submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant PIL is dismissed on withdrawal, with the liberty, as sought for.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant