

GAHC040002072026



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : PIL/3/2026

Tamar Nilling and 2 Ors
Son of Late Tagio Nilling, PO and PS Daporijo, Upper Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh. 2: Kapnying Natam

Age:

Occupation :

Son of Shri Rikap Natam

PO and PS Daporijo

Upper Subansiri District.

3: Kebu Dai

Age:

Occupation :

Son of Late Take Dai

PO and PS Daporijo

Upper Subansiri District

VERSUS

The State of AP and 5 Ors
represented by the Chief Secretary, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Civil Secretariat,
Itanagar 791111 2:The Chief Engineer

Age: 0

Occupation :

Central Zone A

PWD

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh.

3:The Superintending Engineer

Age: 0

Occupation :

Daporijo Circle

PWD

Arunachal Pradesh

Daporijo.

4:The Executive Engineer

Age: 0

Occupation :

Daporijo Division

PWD

Arunachal Pradesh

Daporijo.

5:The Deputy Commissioner

Age: 0

Occupation :

Upper Subansiri Circle

Arunachal Pradesh.

6:M/s N T Agency

Age: 0

Occupation :

proprietor Shri Nabam Tadap

Son of Nabam Lillung

permanent resident of Parang Village

PO and PS Sagalee and presently residing at Prem Nagar

PO and PS Naharlagun

Papum Pare District

Arunachal Pradesh.

7:The Deputy Commissioner

Age: 0

Occupation :

Upper Subansiri Circle

Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Taja Garam, GICHIK KAKU,Byabang Mema

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP),

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG**

ORDER

**Date : 17.02.2026
(Kardak Ete, J.)**

Heard Mr. T. Garam, learned counsel for the petitioners.

By filing this petition styled as a Public Interest Litigation, the petitioners, who are aged about 37 to 39 years, have alleged that the contractor, i.e., the respondent No. 6 herein, after having been awarded the work for "*Improvement of District Headquarters Township Roads at Daporijo (CMCSRDP-II)*," has not been carried out the work in accordance with the specifications of the detailed estimate prepared by the Department. They further allege that funds have been released without there being any proper work done on the ground thereby, in collusion with the respondent authorities, the public funds have been siphoned off by doing only surface level work. The petitioners have also prayed for a direction to the respondent authorities to inspect the work carried out by respondent No. 6 through an independent body.

Issue notice, returnable in 6(six) weeks.

Since Mr. S. Tapin, learned Senior Government Advocate for the State, enters appearance and accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 5, issuance of formal notice is dispensed with. However, requisite extra copies of the PIL shall be furnished to him within two days from today.

The learned counsel for the petitioners to take steps for service of notice upon the respondent No. 6 by Indian Speed Post as well as by usual process

within two (2) working days.

List the matter after six weeks.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant