

GAHC040001732026



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WA/20/2026

The State of AP and 3 Ors
represented by the Secretary, Rural Development, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,
Itanagar 791111 2: The Director

Age:

Occupation :

Rural Development

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Itanagar.791111

3: The Joint Director (RE)

Age:

Occupation :

Rural Development Department

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Itanagar.791111

4: The Project Director

Age:

Occupation :

Department of Rural Development

Upper Subansiri District

Daporijo

Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

M/s L Y Enterprises and Anr
Sikarijo Village, PO and PS Daporijo, Upper Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh
represented by its proprietor Shri Ladu Yekar, Son of Shri Karle Yekar, Sikarijo
Village, Po and PS Daporijo, Upper Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh 791122.

2:M/s R R R Enterprises

Age: 0

Occupation :

proprietor Shri Bimbo Notum Raji
Son of Sarnu Raji
Battak Village
PO and PS Daporijo
Upper Subansiri District
Arunachal Pradesh. 79112

Advocate for the Petitioner : Binter Picha, SC (RD)

Advocate for the Respondent : Duge Soki, Yombom Nasi Tamin, Kolin Dagium, Take
Kyamdo, Parswajyoti Das Nair

Linked Case : IA(C)/40/2026

The State of AP and 3 Ors

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address: represented by the Secretary

Rural Development

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Itanagar 791111

2: The Director

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address: Rural Development

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Itanagar. 791111

3: The Joint Director (RE)

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address: Rural Development Department

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Itanagar. 791111

4: The Project Director

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address: Department of Rural Development

Upper Subansiri District

Daporijo

Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

M/s L Y Enterprises and Anr

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address:Sikarijo Village

PO and PS Daporijo

Upper Subansiri District

Arunachal Pradesh represented by its proprietor Shri Ladu Yekar

Son of Shri Karle Yekar

Sikarijo Village

Po and PS Daporijo

Upper Subansiri District

Arunachal Pradesh 791122.

2:M/s R R R Enterprises

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address:proprietor Shri Bimbo Notum Raji

Son of Sarnu Raji

Battak Village

PO and PS Daporijo

Upper Subansiri District

Arunachal Pradesh. 791122

Advocate for : Binter Picha

Advocate for : Duge Soki appearing for M/s L Y Enterprises and Anr: Advocate ,
appearing for 2, respectively.

Case No. : WA/21/2026

M/s R R R Enterprises

proprietor Shri Bimbo Notum Raji, Son of Sarnu Raji, Battak Village,

PO and PS Daporijo, Upper Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh.

791122

VERSUS

The State of AP and 4 Ors

represented by the Secretary, Rural Development, Govt of Arunachal
Pradesh, Itanagar 791111 2:The Director

Age: 0

Occupation :

Rural Development

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Itanagar.791111

3:The Joint Director (RE)

Age: 0
Occupation :
Rural Development Department
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar.

4:The Project Director
Age: 0
Occupation :
Department of Rural Development
Upper Subansiri District
Daporijo
Arunachal Pradesh.

5:M/s L Y Enterprises
Age: 0
Occupation :
represented by its proprietor Shri Ladu Yekar
Son of Shri Karle Yekar
Sikarijo Village
PO and PS Daporijo
Upper Subansiri District
Arunachal Pradesh. 79112

Advocate for the Petitioner : Duge Soki,

Advocate for the Respondent : Binter Picha, Yombom Nasi Tamin,Kolin
Dagium,Take Kyamdo,Parswajyoti Das Nair,SC (RD)

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MEDHI
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG

ORDER

Date : 10.02.2026
(S. K. Medhi, J.)

Heard Shri B. Picha, learned Standing Counsel for the RD Department, for the appellants in WA.20(AP)2026 as well as Shri D. Soki, learned counsel for the appellant in WA.21(AP)2026. Also heard Shri P. D. Nair, learned counsel for the respondents/writ petitioners, who is on caveat.

Both the appeals have been preferred against the judgment and order dated 09.01.2026 passed in WP(C) No. 243 (AP) of 2025, whereby the writ petition has been allowed and the following two directions have been passed.

"...30. Consequently, the writ petition is allowed and the following directions are issued:-

(i) The order dated 02-05-2025 passed by the respondent authorities awarding the contract in favor of respondent no. 5 vis-a-vis the NIT dated 11-04-2025 is hereby set aside.

(ii) The respondent authority shall award the contract - in terms of NIT dated 11-04-2025 in favor of the petitioner firm, as it has been found to be lawfully entitled to be awarded the contract."

Admit for hearing.

Since the parties are represented, issuance of formal notice is dispensed with.

Since the subject matter involves the supply and procurement of materials under MGNREGA for the year 2025–2026, early consideration of these appeals would be required.

As agreed to by the learned counsel for the parties, list the matter for consideration on **16.02.2026.**

Till such time, the part of the impugned judgment and order requiring grant of the contract to the writ petitioners/respondents shall remain suspended. However, no interim order is passed *qua* the first direction.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant