

GAHC040001432025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/43/2025

Ennyo Nangkar
Son of Atang Nangkar, a resident of C Sector, Itanagar, PO and PS Itanagar, Papum
Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh 791111 and a permanent resident of Gosang Village,
PO and PS Jengging, Upper Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

The State of AP and 2 Ors
represented through the Chief Secretary, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh.

2:The Secretary Land Management

Age: 0

Occupation :

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Civil Secretariat

Itanagar.

3:The Inquiry Officer

Age: 0

Occupation :

represented through Shri C N Longphai

IAS

Secretary Home

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Civil Secretariat

Itanagar

Advocate for the Petitioner : Muk Pertin, Senior Advocate, Mudang Yubbey,Dakjum
Riba,Mukbang Pertin,Takom Pabin,Mukbom Pertin,Karyom Dabi

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP),

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MEDHI**

ORDER

Date : 07.02.2025

Heard Shri M Pertin, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Ms. D Riba, learned counsel for the petitioner.

The grievance of the petitioner is with regard to the alleged violation of Rule 14(24) of the CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965.

It is the case of the petitioner that though a departmental proceeding was initiated against him, the same could not be completed within the stipulated time and thereafter a fresh notice was issued. It is contended on behalf of the petitioner that the proceeding not being completed within the time stipulated, the action is illegal.

Issue notice, returnable by 6 weeks.

Shri S Tapin, learned Senior Government Advocate, AP accepts notice on behalf of the respondent nos. 1 and 3 whereas Shri D Kamduk, learned Standing Counsel, Land Management accepts notice on behalf of the respondent no. 2.

Extra copies of the writ petition be served upon the learned counsel for the respondents within 2 working days.

Shri Pertin, learned Senior Counsel though prays for an interim order, the same is opposed by the learned Senior Govt. Advocate by stating that the inquiry proceedings are on.

On a consideration of the rival submissions and balancing the equities while there is no blanket order of stay on the inquiry proceedings, it is directed that no final orders are to be passed without taking the leave of the Court.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant