

GAHC040001362026



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : IA(C)/37/2026

Riya Papu and 3 Ors
Son of Riya Kame, Village Nyolo, PO and PS Koloriang, Kurung Kumey District,
Arunachal Pradesh. 2: Nabam Naga

Age:

Occupation :

Son of Takang

Village Sagalee

PO and PS Sagalee

Papum Pare District

Arunachal Pradesh.

3: Ability Beyond Limits and Expectation

Age:

Occupation :

represented by Shri Takhe Donyi

HQ Jollang

Itanagar

registered No. Book IV No. 146/ 2023

Papum Pare District

Arunachal Pradesh.

4: All Arunachal Pradesh Divyangjan Welfare Society

Age:

Occupation :

represented by Shri Godak Tajik

HQ Capital Complex

registered No. SR/ ITA/ 5507

Papum Pare District

Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

The State of AP and 8 Ors

represented by the Chief Secretary. 2:The Secretary

Age: 0

Occupation :

Arunachal Pradesh Public Service Commission

Itanagar

Papum Pare District

Arunachal Pradesh.

3:The Chairman

Age: 0

Occupation :

Arunachal Pradesh Public Service Commission

Itanagar

Papum Pare District

Arunachal Pradesh.

4:The Secretary

Age: 0

Occupation :

Social Justice

Empowerment and Tribal Affairs Department

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Itanagar

Papum Pare District

Arunachal Pradesh.

5:The State Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities

Age: 0

Occupation :

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Itanagar

Papum Pare District

Arunachal Pradesh.

6:The Commissioner

Age: 0

Occupation :

PWD

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Itanagar

Papum Pare District

Arunachal Pradesh.

7:The Commissioner

Age: 0

Occupation :

RWD

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Itanagar
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh.

8:The Commissioner
Age: 0
Occupation :
PHE and WS
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh.

9:The Commissioner
Age: 0
Occupation :
Department of Power
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Kago Taja,

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP), S/C APPSC,SC(Power),SC (SJE and TA Department),Tamar Gadi,Lissing Perme,Ajin Apang, Sr. Advocate

BEFORE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA

03.03.2026

Heard Mr. K. Taja, learned counsel for the applicants. Also heard Mr. N. Anju, learned Standing counsel for the APPSC representing respondent Nos. 2 & 3; Mr. N. Ratan, learned Addl. Advocate General representing respondent Nos. 1, 5, 6 & 8; Mr. G. Tarak, learned Standing counsel for the RWD representing respondent No. 7; Mr. T. Gadi, learned Standing counsel representing respondent No. 4 and Mr. L. Perme, learned Standing counsel for respondent No. 9.

Though, in pursuance to the direction of this Court vide its order dated 18.02.2026, respondent Nos. 2, 3 as well as respondent No. 9 have filed their affidavit-in-opposition, however, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 1, 4, 5, 6, 7 & 8 have prayed for some more time for filing their written objection in the Interlocutory Application as well as affidavit-in-opposition in the connected writ petition.

It appears that by an order dated 18.02.2026, 10 (ten) days time was granted to all the respondents which has already been elapsed, however, it appears that in the meanwhile, the result of the written examination which were sought to be stayed in the connected Interlocutory Application has already been declared, however, the alternative prayer for halting the recruitment process was also made in the Interlocutory Application, therefore, the Interlocutory Application still survives.

Considering the circumstances, the respondents are given further one week time to file their affidavit-in-opposition.

Let this matter be listed on 16.03.2026.

Learned counsel for the applicants submits that in view of the failure of the respondents to file their affidavit-in-opposition till the next date of declaration of the result of the recruitment process in respect of Person with Disability may be stayed, however, considering the facts and

circumstances of this case, this Court is not inclined to grant such prayer, at this stage, before filing of the affidavit-in-opposition by all the respondents.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant