

GAHC040000952026



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/20/2026

Lingdum Taha and Anr
Son of Lingdum Tayu, resident of Village Magne, PO Tali, PS Palin, Kra Daadi
District, Arunachal Pradesh.

2: Smti Orpa Ngupok
Age:
Occupation :
Daughter of Shri Osup Ngupok
resident of Village Kangkong
PO and PS Roing
Lower Dibang Valley District
Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

The State of AP and 3 Ors
represented by the Commissioner/ Secretary (Education), Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,
Civil Secretariat, Itanagar.

2:The Director of Secondary Education
Age: 0
Occupation :
Directorate of Secondary Education
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar.

3:The Departmental Screening Committee
Age: 0
Occupation :
represented by its Chairman
Directorate of Secondary Education Itanagar.

4:The Special Investigation Team (Crime)

Age: 0
Occupation :
represented by the Superintendent of Police (Crime Branch)
Police Headquarters
Itanagar

Advocate for the Petitioner : Jumgam Jini, Topuk Gocham, Gyamar Jeevan, Tao Tarin, Binter Picha, Taying Nega

Advocate for the Respondent : Taba Tagum, Loma Kurdu, SC SIT, SC (Education)

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MARLI VANKUNG**

ORDER

Date : 23.01.2026

Heard Mr. J. Jini, learned counsel for the petitioners, who has filed the instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ or order to the respondents on the ground that they were placed at Waiting List No. 1 for the posts of TGT (History) and TGT (Hindi), respectively, under the 5% sports quota as per the advertisement dated 02.03.2020, but have not been appointed till date, despite the fact that certain similarly situated persons have been appointed vide order dated 27.10.2025.

2. The learned counsel submits that the petitioners were placed in the waiting list (Waiting List No. 1) in the result declared on 10.02.2021, but the matter had been kept pending due to certain allegations regarding fraudulent sports certificates. However, after due investigation, the petitioners were found not to be involved in any fraudulent sports certificates, while some persons, against whom criminal cases are still pending, have been appointed vide order dated 27.10.2025. The learned counsel, therefore, submits that the petitioners should also be considered for appointment, being placed in the waiting list

(Waiting List No. 1) vide the result dated 10.02.2021. He further submits that though they have submitted a representation dated 26.05.2025, their representation has remained unattended till date.

3. On hearing the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court finds it appropriate to issue notice of motion to the respondents.

4. Mr. R. Koyu, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. T. Tagum, learned Standing Counsel for the Education Department/respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 3, and Mr. L. Kurdu, learned Special Public Prosecutor for the SIT/respondent No. 4, accept notice on behalf of the respondents; therefore, formal notice is not required. However, requisite extra copies of the writ petition be furnished to them during the course of the day.

5. List after **3 (three) weeks**.

6. In the meantime, the pendency of the instant writ petition shall not be a bar to the respondents considering the representation submitted by the petitioners on 26.05.2025 and disposing of the same, as deemed fit.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant