

GAHC040000872026



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/18/2026

M/s NRK Agency
through its proprietor Smti Ropi Kena Bagra, Wife of Kanya Bagra, resident of Lipu
Bagra Village, PO and PS Aalo, West Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

The State of AP and 6 Ors
through the Secretary, Social Justice and Empowerment and Tribal Affairs
Department, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

2:The Secretary
Age: 0
Occupation :
Social Justice and Empowerment and Tribal Affairs Department
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar.

3:The Joint Director (RE)
Age: 0
Occupation :
Rural Development Department
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar.

4:The Block Development Officer
Age: 0
Occupation :
CD Block
Aalo West
Aalo
West Siang District
Arunachal Pradesh.

5:The Secretary
Age: 0
Occupation :
Rural Works Department
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar.

6:The Executive Engineer
Age: 0
Occupation :
Rural Works Department
Aalo
West Siang District
Arunachal Pradesh.

7:The Tender Scrutiny Board
Age: 0
Occupation :
through its Chairperson the Assistant Commissioner
Aalo
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Dicky Panging, Mitin Boko, Tenzin Tsowang, Omor Panggam, Chatung Tajo, Tokge Darin, Doge Lona, Marge Doji, Daina Tamuk

Advocate for the Respondent : Tamar Gadi, GA (AP), SC (RWD), Gimi Tarak, SC (RD), Binter Picha, SC (SJE and TA Department)

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MARLI VANKUNG

ORDER

Date : 22.01.2026

Heard Mr. D. Panging, learned counsel for the petitioner, who has filed the instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of the appropriate writ or order to the respondents, by submitting that the petitioner in response to NIT dated 21.08.2025, issued by respondent Nos. 3 & 4, was selected to execute the works of C/o PHE building with Compound Wall at Nikte/Kodum Circle in West Siang District. Thereafter, the petitioner had taken

all necessary steps for execution of the works and had also executed an agreement with the respondents vide Agreement dated 04.11.2025 for the execution of the work, wherein, he had vested 14,63,000/- towards the works by collecting the required materials etc. However, the respondent No. 2 vide order dated 05.12.2025, issued an order, wherein, the Executing Agency was changed from Rural Development Department/respondent Nos. 3 & 4 to Rural Works Department/respondent Nos. 5 & 6, without any reasonable reason given. There is also no mention regarding the works already allotted to the petitioner in the said order. Aggrieved by the said order dated 05.12.2025, the instant writ petition has been filed.

Issue notice to the respondents.

Mr. N. Ratan accepts notice on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 7, Mr. B. Picha accepts notice on behalf of the respondent Nos. 3 & 4 and Mr. G. Tarak accepts notice on behalf of the respondent Nos. 5 & 6. No need to issue any formal notice. Requisite extra copies of the writ petition to be duly furnished to the learned counsels.

The learned counsel for the petitioner further submitted that on the issuance of the impugned order dated 05.12.2025, a fresh NIT dated 10.01.2026 has also been issued. The learned counsel also submits that unless the fresh NIT dated 10.01.2026 is stayed, the interest of a third party could also be involved.

The interim prayer is strongly objected by Mr. G. Tarak, learned standing counsel for respondent Nos. 5 & 6.

On hearing the submissions made by the learned counsels, this court finds it appropriate to stay the operation of a fresh NIT dated 10.01.2026 till the next

date of listing.

List the matter after 3 (three) weeks.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant