

GAHC040000662026



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : IA(C)/24/2026

Michi Gumbo and 17 Ors
Son of Late Michi Ankhung, Michi Village, PO Ziro, Lower Subansiri District,
Arunachal Pradesh. 2: Joram Aboo @ J K Aboo

Age:

Occupation :

Son of Late Joram Rika

Yachuli Village

PO Yachuli

keyi Panyor District

Arunachal Pradesh.

3: Joram Budh

Age:

Occupation :

Son of Late Joram Talo

Joram Village

PO Joram

Keyi Panyor District

Arunachal Pradesh.

4: Michi Tajo

Age:

Occupation :

Son of Late Michi Dolley

Village Police Reserve Line

Hapoli

PO Ziro

Lower Subansiri District

Arunachal Pradesh.

5: Kago Chada

Age:

Occupation :

Son of Late Kago Bida
Village Hija
PO Ziro
Lower Subansiri District
Arunachal Pradesh.

6: Kalung Tangu
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Late Kalung Chatung
Village Kalung
PO Ziro
Lower Subansiri District
Arunachal Pradesh.

7: Nending Halley
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Sri Nending Rido
Village Hija
PO Ziro
Lower Subansiri District. Arunachal Pradesh.

8: Smti Nending Yakang
Age:
Occupation :
Wife of Sri Nending Halley
Village Hija
PO Ziro
Lower Subansiri District. Arunachal Pradesh.

9: Joram Nagu
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Sri Joram Tajing
Village Yazali
PO Yazali
Lower Subansiri District
Arunachal Pradesh.

10: Chiging Takar
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Late Chiging Talu
Village Dutta
PO Ziro
Lower Subansiri District
Arunachal Pradesh.

11: Kime Apa

Age:

Occupation :

Son of Late Kime Talang

Village Hija

PO Ziro

Lower Subansiri District

Arunachal Pradesh.

12: Punyo Tare

Age:

Occupation :

Son of Late Punyo Kuli

Village Hong

PO Ziro

Lower Subansiri District

Arunachal Pradesh.

13: Ngilyang Tari

Age:

Occupation :

Son of Shri Ngilyang Tarang

Village Tajang

PO Ziro

Lower Subansiri District

Arunachal Pradesh.

14: Radhe Tagia

Age:

Occupation :

Son of Late Radhe Tangu

Village Tajang

PO Ziro

Lower Subansiri District

Arunachal Pradesh.

15: Tage Tallo

Age:

Occupation :

Son of Late Tage Tallu

Village Tajang

PO Ziro

Lower Subansiri District

Arunachal Pradesh.

16: Haju Tali

Age:

Occupation :
Son of Late Haju Alyu
Village Hija
PO Ziro
Lower Subansiri District
Arunachal Pradesh.

17: Habung Laie
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Late Habung Talo
Village Reru
PO Ziro
Lower Subansiri District
Arunachal Pradesh.

18: Taba Bida
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Late Taba Tekhi
Resident of Yazali
PO Yazali
Lower Subansiri District
Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

The State of AP and 3 Ors
represented by the Secretary, Land Management, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,
Itanagar. 2:The Deputy Commissioner
Age: 0
Occupation :
Lower Subansiri District
Ziro
Arunachal Pradesh 791120

3:The Chief Engineer (Highway)
Age: 0
Occupation :
PWD
Western Zone
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar. 791111

4:The Union of India
Age: 0
Occupation :
represented by the Secretary

Ministry of Road Transport and Highway
Govt of India
Transport Bhawan
No.1
Parliament Street
New Delhi 1100

Advocate for the Petitioner : Subu Koyang,

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP), SC(Highway),Rintu Saikia,DSGI,Marto Kato

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MARLI VANKUNG
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE YARENJUNGLA LONGKUMER

ORDER

Date : 20.01.2026
(Marli, V. J)

Heard Mr. S. Koyang, learned counsel for the applicants/appellants, who has filed the instant application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963 for condonation of delay of 19 days in preferring the appeal against the Judgement and order dated 19.11.2025 passed in WP(C) No.270(AP)/2023.

2. Issue notice to the respondents.

3. Since, Mr. N. Ratan, learned Additional Advocate General accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1, 2 and 3, Mr. R. Saikia, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.4 and Mr. N. Sora, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of Mr. M. Kato, learned DSGI representing the respondent No.5, no need to issue formal notice.

4. The learned counsel for the applicants/appellants submits that the delay of 19 days in filing the appeal against the said Judgment and order dated 19.11.2025 passed by the learned Single Judge in WP(C) No. 270(AP)/2023 was not due to any laches and negligence on the part of the applicants/appellants but was due to the time taken for obtaining a certified true copy of the impugned Judgment and order and time taken for preparation of the appeal and getting the signatures of the appellants.

5. The learned counsel for the respondents submits that they have no objection to the condonation of delay of 19 days.

6. In view of the above submissions, we find it appropriate to condone the delay of 19 days in filing the appeal against the Judgement and order dated 19.11.2025 passed in WP(C) No. 270(AP)/2023, being satisfied with the explanation given by the learned counsel for the applicants/appellants.

7. Accordingly, IA(C) No.24(AP)/2026 stands allowed and disposed of.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant