

GAHC040000652026



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/13/2026

Tai Shiva and 3 Ors
Son of Late Tai Epak, resident of Lekha Tomru Village, Circle Doimukh, PO and PS
Doimukh, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh.

2: Kaling Tabi
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Shri Tatuk Tabi
resident of Abo Tani Colony
Itanagar
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh.

3: Ngakar Jumken
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Late Ngakar Bajom
resident of Nirjuli
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh.

4: Likha Tolum
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Shri Likha Tabia
resident of Ambam Village
PO Pistana
PS Yachuli
Keyi Panyor District
Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

The State of AP and 3 Ors
represented by the Chief Secretary, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar 791111

2:The Commissioner (Home)

Age: 0

Occupation :

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Itanagar 791111

3:The Director General of Police

Age: 0

Occupation :

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

PHQ

Itanagar.

4:The Superintendent of Police (Security)

Age: 0

Occupation :

PHQ

Itanagar

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Itanagar

Advocate for the Petitioner : Tabit Tapak, Y Pangu,Bebeng Siboh,T Tabing,Aso Dalbong

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP),

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MARLI VANKUNG

ORDER

Date : 20-01-2026

Heard Mr. T. Tapak, learned counsel for the petitioners, who has filed the instant writ petition with a limited prayer that an appropriate action may be taken by the respondents by considering the representation filed by the petitioners before the Superintendent of Police (Security) THQ, Itanagar/respondent No. 4.

2. The learned counsel submits that the petitioners being the members of

Arunachal Pradesh Schedule Tribe IV have been taking active steps against the fake APST Certificate holders, for which they have been threatened and attacked by the goons sent by the fake APST holders.

3. The learned counsel submits that because of the threat to the life of the petitioners, they had also filed an FIR against the miscreants and therefore, need protection for which they made a request dated 29.10.2025 to the respondent No. 4, however, till date, no steps or response have been made by the respondent No. 4 and hence, the instant writ petition for early consideration of request dated 29.10.2025.

4. Ms. T. Wangmu, learned Government Advocate for the State respondents submits that since the prayer of the petitioners is limited to a request that the representation made by the petitioners to respondent No. 4 should be considered at an early date, she has no objection.

5. On considering the submissions made by the learned counsels for both the parties, this court find it appropriate to dispose of the instant writ petition at the motion stage, considering the limited prayer in writ petition for the respondent No. 4 to consider the request made by the respondents dated 29.10.2025 for providing immediate police protection/security.

6. Accordingly, the instant writ petition stands disposed of with a direction that the respondent No. 4 should consider the request made by the petitioners in the letter dated 29.10.2025 and to dispose of the same as found appropriate, within a period of 3 (three) weeks from the date of receiving a certified true copy of this order.

7. WP(C) No. 13(AP)/2026 stands disposed of as above.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant