

GAHC040000602025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/18/2025

M/s K K Enterprise

Luba Village, PO Boasimla, PS Raga, Kamle District, Arunachal Pradesh, represented by its proprietor Shri Kabak Karu, Son of Shri Kabak Logi.

VERSUS

The State of AP and 6 Ors

represented by the Secretary, Department of Rural Development, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar. 2:The Director of Rural Development Department

Age: 0

Occupation :

Itanagar

Papum Pare District

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh.

3:The Director Rural Development Department

Age: 0

Occupation :

Itanagar

Papum Pare District

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh.

4:The Joint Director

Age: 0

Occupation :

Rural Development Department

Itanagar

Papum Pare District

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh.

5:The Project Director

Age: 0

Occupation :

Rural Development Department
Raga
Kamle District
PO and PS Raga
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh.

6:The Chairman
Age: 0
Occupation :
Technical and Financial Tender Evaluation Committee
in Tender NIT Vide No. RD/MGNREGA/NIT/2024-25 dated 18.10.2024.

7:M/s Goda Bida
Age: 0
Occupation :
its office address at Raga
PO and PS Raga
Kamle District
Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Jumgam Jini, Migo Laye, Gyamar Jeevan, Taying Nega, Tao Tarin, M Rime, A T Tara

Advocate for the Respondent : Binter Picha, SC (RD)

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MANISH CHOUDHURY**

ORDER

Date : 24.04.2026

Heard Mr. J. Jini, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. B. Picha, learned Standing Counsel, Rural Development Department.

Mr. Picha has produced the original records containing the financial bid of the petitioner which was declared non-responsive by the Bid Evaluation Committee on the ground that there was use of correction fluid at places. He has submitted that as per sub-clause [iii] of Clause 16 of the terms and conditions of the Tender, use of correction fluid in a bid is liable for rejection of the bid.

At this stage, Mr. Jini, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the subject-matter of the writ petition has become infructuous.

In view of the above submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition being infructuous and is accordingly, closed.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant