

GAHC040000552026



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WA/7/2026

Tania Tamin and 9 Ors
Son of Late Tania Tabi, Village Tajgi, PO Raka, Kamle District, Arunachal Pradesh.
2: Dunik Char

Age:
Occupation :
Son of Late Dunik Tallang
Village Tajgi
PO Raka
Kamle District
Arunachal Pradesh.

3: Smti Dunik Rija
Age:
Occupation :
Wife of Shri Dunik Char
Village Tajgi
PO Raka
Kamle District
Arunachal Pradesh.

4: Smti Tania Yaya
Age:
Occupation :
Wife of Shri Tania Tamin
Village Tajgi
PO Raka
Kamle District
Arunachal Pradesh.

5: Joram Lalin
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Late Joram Tachak

Village Joram
PO Joram
Keyi Panyor District
Arunachal Pradesh.

6: Millo Kojing
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Late Millo Delyang
Village Tajgi
PO Raka
Kamle District
Arunachal Pradesh.

7: Khoda Takap
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Late Khoda Taling
Village Tajgi
PO Raka
Kamle District
Arunachal Pradesh.

8: Smti Khoda Ampa
Age:
Occupation :
Wife of Shri Khoda Takap
Village Tajgi
PO Raka
Kamle District
Arunachal Pradesh.

9: Dora Duri
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Late Dora Tapuk
Village Tajgi
PO Raka
Kamle District
Arunachal Pradesh.

10: Tania Taching
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Late Tania Tajam
Village Tajgi
PO Raka
Kamle District

Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

The State of AP and 3 Ors
represented by the Secretary, Land Management, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh.

2:The Deputy Commissioner

Age: 0

Occupation :

Lower Subansiri District

Ziro

Arunachal Pradesh 791120

3:The Chief Engineer (Highway)

Age: 0

Occupation :

PWD

Western Zone

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Itanagar. 791111

4:The Union of India

Age: 0

Occupation :

represented by the Secretary

Ministry of Road Transport and Highway

Govt of India

Transport Bhawan

No.1

Parliament Street

New Delhi 1100

Advocate for the Petitioner : Subu Koyang,

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP), SC(Highway),DSGI,Rintu Saikia,Marto Kato

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MARLI VANKUNG
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE YARENJUNGLA LONGKUMER

ORDER

Date : 20.01.2026
(Marli V., J)

On the disposal of the IA(C) No.19(AP)/2026, allowing the condonation application, heard Mr. S. Koyang, learned counsel for the appellants.

The learned counsel for the appellants submits that there are sufficient grounds for setting aside the Judgment and order of the learned Single Bench in WP(C) No. 25(AP)/2024 dated 19.11.2025 by submitting that learned Single Judge had erred in coming to the conclusion that in the case of the petitioners, there was no pending matter before any other authorities while referring to the Judgment of the Apex Court in the case of ***State of Arunachal Pradesh & Anr Vs. Mihin Laling & Ors.***

Admit the appeal.

Issue notice to the respondents.

Since, Mr. N. Ratan, learned Additional Advocate General accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1, 2 and 3, Mr. R. Saikia, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.4 and Mr. N. Sora, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of Mr. M. Kato, learned DSGI representing the respondent No.5, no need to issue formal notice. Requisite extra copies of the writ appeal be furnished to all the learned counsel for the respondents.

List the matter again after 3(three) weeks, during the 2nd week of March, 2026.

In the meantime, the learned counsel for the respondents to file their counter-affidavits, if so advised.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant