

GAHC040000542026



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : IA(C)/19/2026

Tania Tamin and 9 Ors
Son of Late Tania Tabi, Village Tajgi, PO Raka, Kamle District, Arunachal Pradesh.
2: Dunik Char

Age:
Occupation :
Son of Late Dunik Tallang
Village Tajgi
PO Raka
Kamle District
Arunachal Pradesh.

3: Smti Dunik Rija
Age:
Occupation :
Wife of Shri Dunik Char
Village Tajgi
PO Raka
Kamle District
Arunachal Pradesh.

4: Smti Tania Yaya
Age:
Occupation :
Wife of Shri Tania Tamin
Village Tajgi
PO Raka
Kamle District
Arunachal Pradesh.

5: Joram Lalin
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Late Joram Tachak

Village Joram
PO Joram
Keyi Panyor District
Arunachal Pradesh.

6: Millo Kojing
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Late Millo Delyang
Village Tajgi
PO Raka
Kamle District
Arunachal Pradesh.

7: Khoda Takap
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Late Khoda Taling
Village Tajgi
PO Raka
Kamle District
Arunachal Pradesh.

8: Smti Khoda Ampa
Age:
Occupation :
Wife of Shri Khoda Takap
Village Tajgi
PO Raka
Kamle District
Arunachal Pradesh.

9: Dora Duri
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Late Dora Tapuk
Village Tajgi
PO Raka
Kamle District
Arunachal Pradesh.

10: Tania Taching
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Late Tania Tajam
Village Tajgi
PO Raka
Kamle District

Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

The State of AP and 3 Ors
represented by the Secretary, Land Management, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh.

2:The Deputy Commissioner

Age: 0

Occupation :

Lower Subansiri District

Ziro

Arunachal Pradesh 791120

3:The Chief Engineer (Highway)

Age: 0

Occupation :

PWD

Western Zone

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Itanagar. 791111

4:The Union of India

Age: 0

Occupation :

represented by the Secretary

Ministry of Road Transport and Highway

Govt of India

Transport Bhawan

No.1

Parliament Street

New Delhi 1100

Advocate for the Petitioner : Subu Koyang,

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP), Rintu Saikia,SC(Highway),DSGI,Marto Kato

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MARLI VANKUNG
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE YARENJUNGLA LONGKUMER

ORDER

Date : 20.01.2026
(Marli, V. J)

Heard Mr. S. Koyang, learned counsel for the applicants/appellants, who has filed the instant application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963 for condonation of delay of 19 days in preferring the appeal against the Judgement and order dated 19.11.2025 passed in WP(C) No.25(AP)/2024.

2. Issue notice to the respondents.

3. Since, Mr. N. Ratan, learned Additional Advocate General accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1, 2 and 3, Mr. R. Saikia, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.4 and Mr. N. Sora, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of Mr. M. Kato, learned DSGI representing the respondent No.5, no need to issue formal notice.

4. The learned counsel for the applicants/appellants submits that the delay of 19 days in filing the appeal against the said Judgment and order dated 19.11.2025 passed by the learned Single Judge in WP(C) No.25(AP)/2024 was not due to any laches and negligence on the part of the applicants/appellants but was due to the time taken for obtaining a certified true copy of the impugned Judgment and order and time taken for preparation of the appeal and getting the signatures of the appellants.

5. The learned counsel for the respondents submits that they have no objection to the condonation of delay of 19 days.

6. In view of the above submissions, we find it appropriate to condone the delay of 19 days in filing the appeal against the Judgement and order

dated 19.11.2025 passed in WP(C) No.25(AP)/2024, being satisfied with the explanation given by the learned counsel for the applicants/appellants.

7. Accordingly, IA(C) No.19(AP)/2026 stands allowed and disposed of.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant