

GAHC040000512026



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**  
**(ITANAGAR BENCH)**

**Case No. : WA/5/2026**

Dohu Takik and 6 Ors  
Son of Late Dohu Manglo, Village Rakso, PO Palin, Kra Daadi District, Arunachal Pradesh.      2: Dohu Depen

Age:

Occupation :

Son of Late Dohu Teni

Village Rakso

PO Palin

Kra Daadi District

Arunachal Pradesh.

3: Dohu Nikam

Age:

Occupation :

Son of Late Dohu Taying

Village Rakso

PO Palin

Kra Daadi District

Arunachal Pradesh.

4: Smti Dohu Yacham

Age:

Occupation :

Wife of Shri Dohu Nikam

Village Rakso

PO Palin

Kra Daadi District

Arunachal Pradesh.

5: Biri Takio

Age:

Occupation :

Son of Late Biri Nima

Village Rakso  
PO Palin  
Kra Daadi District  
Arunachal Pradesh.

6: Dohu Jeevan  
Age:  
Occupation :  
Son of Late Dohu Talo  
Village Rakso  
PO Palin  
Kra Daadi District  
Arunachal Pradesh.

7: Smti Tassar Yapuk  
Age:  
Occupation :  
Wife of Shri Tassar Puna  
Village Yapap Bogu  
PO Palin  
Kra Daadi District  
Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

The State of AP and 4 Ors  
represented by the Secretary, Land Management, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar  
791112:The Deputy Commissioner  
Age: 0  
Occupation :  
Kra Daadi District  
Palin  
Arunachal Pradesh 791118

3:The Chief Engineer (Highway)  
Age: 0  
Occupation :  
PWD  
Western Zone  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh  
Itanagar. 791111

4:The Union of India  
Age: 0  
Occupation :  
represented by the Secretary  
Ministry of Road Transport and Highway  
Govt of India

Transport Bhawan  
No.1  
Parliament Street  
New Delhi 11001

5:The National Highway and Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited  
Age: 0  
Occupation :  
represented by the Managing Director  
3rd Floor  
PTI Building  
4 Parliament Street  
New Delhi 11000

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : Subu Koyang, Ugin Puri,Mudang Doilyang

**Advocate for the Respondent** : GA (AP), SC(Highway),Rintu Saikia,DSGI,Marto Kato

**BEFORE**  
**HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MARLI VANKUNG**  
**HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE YARENJUNGLA LONGKUMER**

**ORDER**

**Date : 20.01.2026**  
*(Marli V., J)*

On the disposal of the IA(C) No.17(AP)/2026, allowing the condonation application, heard Mr. S. Koyang, learned counsel for the appellants.

The learned counsel for the appellants submits that there are sufficient grounds for setting aside the Judgment and order of the learned Single Bench in WP(C) No. 413(AP)/2025 dated 19.11.2025 by submitting that learned Single Judge had erred in coming to the conclusion that in the case of the petitioners, there was no pending matter before any other authorities while referring to the Judgment of the Apex Court in the case

of ***State of Arunachal Pradesh & Anr Vs. Mihin Laling & Ors.***

Admit the appeal.

Issue notice to the respondents.

Since, Mr. N. Ratan, learned Additional Advocate General accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1, 2 and 3, Mr. R. Saikia, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.4 and Mr. N. Sora, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of Mr. M. Kato, learned DSGI representing the respondent No.5, no need to issue formal notice. Requisite extra copies of the writ appeal be furnished to all the learned counsel for the respondents.

List the matter again after 3(three) weeks, during the 2<sup>nd</sup> week of March, 2026.

In the meantime, the learned counsel for the respondents to file their counter-affidavits, if so advised.

**JUDGE**

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**